CPSC 457/557:

Sensitive Information in a Wired World

The National Strategy for Trusted Identities in Cyberspace

Aaron Segal

Outline

- What is the National Strategy for Trusted Identities in Cyberspace (NSTIC)?
- How is it supposed to work?
- What are the difficulties in implementing it?
 - Integrity/Security
 - Privacy
 - Economics and the role of the government

NSTIC – What is it

- National Strategy for Trusted Identities in Cyberspace
- Broad outline of what a new trusted identity system would look like
- To be implemented by private or public sectors
- No deadline, no plan, no dedicated funding

NSTIC's Vision

- "Individuals and organizations utilize secure, efficient, easy-to-use, and interoperable identity solutions to access online services in a manner that promotes confidence, privacy, choice, and innovation."
- "Identity Ecosystem" to manage identities, credentials, and trust
- "Fair Information Practice Principles" (FIPPs) to ensure privacy of users

Identity Ecosystem

- Privacy protections
 - No additional information given, no personal information need be stored
- Convenience
 - No passwords
- Efficiency
- Ease-of-use
- Security
- Confidence
 - "Trustmarks" would indicate Identity Ecosystem compliance
- Innovation
- Choice
 - The Identity Ecosystem should be optional and have multiple providers

How Credentials Would Work

- Credentials are physical or data objects that hold data about a person
- Identity providers issue credentials with ID information
- Attribute providers issue anonymous, single attribute credentials
- Relying parties check credentials without needing to contact providers

Identity Credential

- {Name : Aaron Segal}
- {Sex: Male}
- {Birthdate : 3/4/1988}
- {Address: New Haven, CT}

Attribute Credential

 This person is a student at Yale University

Example Uses

- I want to gain access to an anonymous message board for Yalies only
 - Get attribute credential from Yale
 - Website verifies the credential
 - I stay anonymous
 - · Yale is not contacted
- I want to buy a book from a website and get the student discount
 - Get identity credential from anywhere
 - Vendor verifies the credential
 - No need to open an account
 - · No need to enter personal data

Identity Credential

- {Name : Aaron Segal}
- {Sex: Male}
- {Birthdate : 3/4/1988}
- {Address: New Haven, CT}

Attribute Credential

 This person is a student at Yale University

Simplistic Implementation

- I go to Yale and request a credential that says I go to Yale
- Yale verifies my identity and gives me a smart card
- I use the smart card to authenticate myself to a Yale website
- If the website can verify the signature, it gives me access

Smart Card

- Data = "This person is a student at Yale University"
- SK_{Yale}
- PW_{User}
- getTime()
- sign (m)
- getCredential (PW):
 - If $PW==PW_{User}$
 - σ=sign_{SK}(Data, getTime())
 - return (Data, getTime(), σ)

Risks to Integrity

- When I request the credential, Yale must verify my identity and status
- Vulnerability:
 - Public information
 - Fake IDs
- Any choice of providers means I can choose a less thorough identity check
- Ecosystem only as reliable as weakest identity verifier

Risks to Security

- Real credentials might be stolen
 - Phishing
 - Hopefully defeated by physical card, but private keys can sometimes be extracted
 - Physical theft
 - Hopefully defeated by passphrase, but could be guessed or brute-forced
 - Other exploits?
- Possession of a fake/stolen credential means:
 - Access victim's private information
 - Act as victim for financial transactions
 - Anonymity means it may be hard to detect misuse

Revocation

- How can identity/attribute providers revoke credentials that are reported fraudulent/stolen?
- Expiration dates not strong enough
- Revocation lists
 - Frequent updates
 - Potential loss of privacy from exposing data
- Online Certificate Status Protocol
 - Providers will know when credentials are used
 - Vulnerable to replay attacks
 - Oblivious transfer protocols, nonces

Revocation and Privacy

- In either case:
 - Providers must be always online
 - Impetus is on relying party to check for revocation
 - Computational overhead
 - Credentials must have unique identifiers
- Unique identifiers on credentials means at best pseudonymity
- Using the same credential on many sites could allow de-anonymization attacks on privacy
- It seems anonymity is incompatible with the need to revoke lost or stolen credentials

Relying Parties and Privacy

- Ease of use may mean relying parties choose which credential fields to request
- How many websites will choose to request less than all the user's information?
- How many users are going to un-check these boxes?
- How will users know what information is being accessed?

- Click here if we may use your information to provide a personalized online experience!!!
- Click here if you would like to receive special discount offers from our partners!!

Implementation: Interoperability

- Critical part of the strategy: All providers should be recognized and compatible with all relying parties
 - Industry must adopt standards
- Every provider's key needs to reach every verifier
 - Barriers to entry from national key database or certificate authority hierarchy
 - What if a provider's key is compromised?
 - What if a provider goes out of business?
- Weakest link

Supply & Demand

Demand

- Remember only one password
- Enhanced privacy, maybe
- Carry around a smart card (or several)
- More secure
 - Unless it's not
- Paid online services are unpopular

Supply

- Costs to enter market:
 - Publicize keys
 - Develop software
- Verify identities before issuing credentials
 - Potential liability
- Maintain revocation lists, security
- Payment options
 - o Pay once?
 - Pay per month?
 - o Free perk?
 - o Ad-driven credentials?

Role of the Government

- Trustmarks: Verify whether the site is compliant
 - Who is in charge of this?
 - Will users care if the site has no trustmark?
 - Tragedy of cryptography
- Incentives
 - The government won't mandate the use of credentials, but...
 - Require credentials for online tax filings
 - Give out free credentials to federal employees
 - Grants, subsidies, tax breaks
 - Limit liability for loss of data
- If privacy is going to be compromised anyway...

Thank You!

Questions?