

Solutions to Problem Set 7

Problem 21: (Oblivious Transfer)

Oblivious Transfer is a two party protocol $(A(b), B)$ such that at the end of this protocol one of the following two events occurs, each with probability $1/2$:

- (a) B learns the value b .
- (b) B gains no information about b beyond what, if anything, B knew about b before the protocol.

At the end of the protocol, B knows which of the two events occurred, and A has no idea which event occurred.

One-out-of-two Oblivious Transfer is a two party protocol $(A(b_0, b_1), B(s))$, such that at the end of this protocol, all of the following three conditions hold:

- (a) B learns the value b_s .
- (b) B gains no information about b_t beyond what, if anything, B knew about b_t before the protocol, where $t = 1 - s$.
- (c) A learns nothing about s .

Here is an implementation of one-out-of-two oblivious transfer using a basic oblivious transfer primitive as a black box. (This protocol is adapted from one in lecture notes by Rafail Ostrovsky, <http://www.cs.ucla.edu/~rafail/TEACHING/WINTER-2005/L10/L10.pdf>.)

1. Let n be a security parameter, and set $M = 3n$. A chooses a random bit string $r = r_1 r_2 \dots r_M$ of length M . A uses the basic oblivious transfer protocol M times to transfer r to B , one bit at a time. B learns approximately $1/2$ of the bits r_i . Let $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, M\}$ be the set of indices i for which B does learn r_i .
2. B 's input bit is s . B wants to learn A 's secret b_s . B chooses a random subset I_s of I of size n and a random subset I_{1-s} of $\{1, \dots, M\} - I$, also of size n , and sends the sets I_0 and I_1 to A .
3. A checks that I_0, I_1 are disjoint subsets of the right form. A then computes $c_i = b_i \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j \in I_i} r_j\right)$, for $i = 0, 1$, and sends c_0, c_1 to B .
4. B computes $b_s = c_s \oplus \left(\bigoplus_{j \in I_s} r_j\right)$.

Questions:

- (a) This protocol can sometimes fail. Explain how.

Solution: If $|I| < n$ or $|I| > 2n$ in the first step. Thus B can't choose I_s or I_{1-s} in step 2. So the protocol will fail.

- (b) The above definition of one-out-of-two oblivious transfer does not allow for failure. Make a minor change to the definition so that it matches what this protocol is actually able to achieve.

Solution: Change the definition to "With the high probability, that the following three condition hold: ..."

- (c) Describe why
- B
- learns the desired value
- b_s
- . Is this always true or only true with high probability?

Solution: Since B learns all elements in I_s , he can calculate b_s as below:

$$b_s = c_s \oplus (\oplus_{j \in I_s} r_j) = b_s \oplus (\oplus_{j \in I_s} r_j) \oplus (\oplus_{j \in I_s} r_j) = b_s \oplus (\oplus_{j \in I_s} (r_j \oplus r_j)) = b_s \quad (1)$$

Because the protocol might fail with a small probability, the above statement is true with high probability.

- (d) Describe why
- B
- gains no information about
- b_{1-s}
- . Is this always true or only true with high probability?

Solution: B gains no information about any of the elements in I_{1-s} , so in particular, B gains no information about $(\oplus_{j \in I_{1-s}} r_j)$. Hence, B gains no information about b_{1-s} , even given $c_{1-s} = b_{1-s} \oplus (\oplus_{j \in I_{1-s}} r_j)$. So for an honest B , he gains no information no matter whether the protocol succeeds or fails. However, for a cheating B , with small probability that $|I| \geq 2n$, B can learn both b_s and b_{1-s} . So the above statement is true with high probability.

- (e) Describe why
- A
- learns nothing about
- s
- . Is this always true or only true with high probability?

Solution: A learns nothing about s because of the fact that I_0 and I_1 are the same size and both contain only the information of the indices. With the definition of basic oblivious transfer, A has no idea whether B learns the bit value or not. This is always true.

- (f) Describe why a cheating
- B
- cannot learn both
- b_0
- and
- b_1
- . Is this always true or only true with high probability?

Solution: If B knows more than $2n$ bits, he can cheat and send both sets as disjoint subsets of the bits he knows. Then he can learn both bits. This happens with small probability that $|I| > 2n$. If B doesn't know more than $2n$ bits he can't send any two disjoint sets because he will not know enough bits to do so. So the above statement is true with high probability.

- (g) Why does Alice need to check
- I_0
- and
- I_1
- in step (3)? Explain how
- B
- could cheat if she failed to do so.

Solution: Otherwise, B could send $I_s = I_{1-s} \subseteq I$ and learn both bits.

- (h) Does the protocol still work if
- M
- is defined to be
- $2n$
- instead of
- $3n$
- ? Defined to be
- $5n$
- instead of
- $3n$
- ? Explain.

Solution: If M is defined as $2n$, then the protocol will fail when $|I| \neq n$. Because the probability that $|I| \neq n$ is very high when $M = 2n$, the protocol can't work in this case.

If M is defined as $5n$, the protocol may still work. However, the probability of B being able to cheat is big, more than $1/2$. This is B learns more than $2n$ bits from the oblivious transfer with high probability. So from the modified definition of the One-out-of-two Oblivious Transfer, this is still a failed solution.

The next two problems concern the Blum-Blum-Shub pseudorandom sequence generator. See [Handout 18](#) for the exact definitions assumed by these problems.

Problem 22: (BBS Pseudorandom Sequence Generator)

Write a C function to implement the Blum-Blum-Shub pseudorandom sequence generator. You can assume the inputs to your programs are numbers at most 15 bits long (so they are short enough to fit into a variable of type `short int`).

Your function should have the prototype

```
short int bbs_random( short int len,
                    short int buf[],
                    short int seed,
                    short int n );
```

`buf` is assumed to be a buffer of length `len`, `seed` is the seed (starting value) for the BBS generator, and `n` is the modulus for the BBS generator. You may assume that `seed` is in \mathbf{Z}_n^* and that `n` is a Blum integer. A call to `bbs_random()` generates `len` pseudorandom bits and places them in `buf[0], ..., buf[len-1]`, one bit per array element. The new seed is returned.

To test your function, write a command `bbs` that calls `bbs_random()`. The command line “`bbs len seed n`” generates `len` bits starting from seed `seed` and modulus `n` and prints three lines of output. The first line echos the command line arguments. The second contains the pseudorandom bit sequence, printed as a sequence of 0’s and 1’s with no intervening spaces. The third contains the new seed, printed in decimal.

Run your command on the arguments `80 3 13589`. (Note that $13589 = 107 \times 127$ is a Blum integer.) Write your answers to a file called `bbsout.txt` and submit both the program and the answers file.

Solution: Your output should be the following.

```
80 3 13589
11101111011110011101111111000010001111011010010001111010000010101101001110000101
7955
```

A possible [slightly corny] implementation is the following.

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

#define a for (i=0; i<len;i++){printf ("%ld",buf[i]);}
#define putarg(i,into) sscanf(argv[i],"%d",into)
#define P putarg(1, &len);
#define p putarg(2, &seed);
#define Y putarg(3, &n);
#define usage "bbs_15 <len> <seed> <n>"
#define L printf("\n%d\n",seed);
#define A if (argc != 4){printf("Usage:%s\n",usage);exit(1);}
#define H int len,seed,n,*buf,i;
#define F buf = (int*)malloc(len * sizeof(int));
#define S free(buf); exit(0);
```

```

#define N printf("%s %s %s\n", argv[1],argv[2],argv[3]);
#define I seed = bbs_random(len,buf,seed,n);

int bbs_random(int len, int buf[], int seed, int n){
    int i;

    for (i=0; i<len; i++){
        seed=(seed*seed)%n; buf[i] = seed%2 ;
    }
    return seed;
}

int main( int argc , char *argv[]){
    H A P p Y   F I N a L S
}

```

Problem 23: (Cycle Lengths)

The purpose of this problem is to explore the cycle lengths of the various possible seeds in the BBS generator of problem 22. For any seed $s_0 \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$, define the *cycle length* of s_0 to be $k - 1$, where k is the least integer > 1 such that $s_k = s_1$ in the BBS-generated sequence s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots , where $s_i = s_{i-1}^2 \bmod n$, for $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

Questions:

- (a) Why is the cycle length well defined for every $s_0 \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$? That is, why does s_1 occur in the sequence s_2, s_3, s_4, \dots ?

Solution: Let's prove it by showing a contradiction. Suppose s_1 doesn't occur in the sequence of s_2, s_3, s_4, \dots . Then there must be some other i ($i \neq 1$) such that s_i repeats in the sequence. This follows from the fact that the sequence is infinite while the number of quadratic residues in \mathbf{Z}_n^* is finite. Suppose that the first repeated number is s_k ($k \neq 1$), so the sequence has the form $s_1, \dots, s_{k-1}, s_k, \dots, s_l, s_k, \dots$. Then both s_{k-1} and s_l are the principal square root of s_k since for a Blum integer n , each quadratic residue in \mathbf{Z}_n^* has exactly one principal square root. This shows s_{k-1} equals to s_l . So s_k is not the first repeated number in the sequence, a contradiction. So s_1 occurs in the sequence s_2, s_3, s_4, \dots

- (b) What is the expected cycle length when s_0 is chosen uniformly at random from \mathbf{Z}_n^* , where $n = 13589 = 107 \times 127$.

For part (b), you should write a program to build a table of quadratic residues and the cycles they lie in. Then compute a table of cycles and their lengths. Finally, compute the expected cycle length. For example, for $n = 33 = 3 \times 11$, there are 5 quadratic residues, so the table of quadratic residues and the table of cycles might look as follows:

x	$(x^2 \bmod 33)$	cycle #	cycle #	length
1	1	1	1	1
4	16	2	2	4
16	25	2		
25	31	2		
31	4	2		

From this table, we see that there are only two cycles: (1) and (4, 16, 25, 31). Of the 20 possible seeds in \mathbf{Z}_{33}^* , 4 lead to the first cycle and 16 lead to the second cycle. Hence, the expected cycle length is

$$\frac{4}{20} \times 1 + \frac{16}{20} \times 4 = \frac{68}{20} = 3.4$$

Solution: The expected cycle length is 148.3. Here is one of the implementations. (Thank Melody Chan for letting me use her solution).

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include <stdlib.h>

/* GCD */
int gcd(int a, int b) {

    if (b==0) return a;
    return gcd(b, a%b);

}

int main (int argc, char **argv) {

    int n, i, j, last_cycle, num_qrs;
    int **table;
    int *table2;

    if (argc != 2) {
        printf("usage: cycle n\n");
        exit(1);
    }

    sscanf(argv[1], "%d", &n);

    table = malloc(sizeof(int *) * n);
    /* Row i contains
       i*i (or 0 if i is not relatively prime to n)
       a flag indicating whether i is a QR mod n
       cycle # of i (or 0 if i is not a QR) */

    for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {
        table[i] = malloc(sizeof(int) * 3);

        if (gcd(i, n) == 1) table[i][0] = i * i % n;
        else table[i][0] = 0;

        table[i][1] = 0; /* initially set QR flag to 0 */
    }
}
```

```

    table[i][2] = 0; /* initially is not part of a cycle */

}

/* Mark QRs and count them */
num_qrs = 0;
for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    if (table[i][0] && (table[table[i][0]][1] == 0)) {
        table[table[i][0]][1] = 1;
        num_qrs++;
    }
}

/* Number cycles */
last_cycle = 0;
for (i = 0; i < n; i++) {
    if (table[i][1] && (table[i][2] == 0)) {

        table[i][2] = ++last_cycle;
        for (j = table[i][0]; j != i; j = table[j][0])
table[j][2] = last_cycle;

    }
}

table2 = malloc(sizeof(int) * (last_cycle + 1));
/* initialize */
for (i = 0; i < last_cycle + 1; i++) table2[i] = 0;
/* count up how many in each cycle */
/* we will count those in "cycle 0" and then discard that info */
for (i = 0; i < n; i++) table2[table[i][2]]++;

/* The expected cycle length is the sum of the squares of the
lengths divided by the number of quadratic residues */
j = 0;
for (i = 1; i < last_cycle + 1; i++) j += table2[i] * table2[i];
printf("%f\n", (float) j / num_qrs);

/* Free */
for (i = 0; i < n; i++) free(table[i]);
free(table);
free(table2);

return 0;

}

```