

PSPACE-completeness of TQBF

CS468/568

Author: David Costanzo, Yale University
Adapted from Computational Complexity: A Modern Approach by Arora and Barak

Quantified Boolean Formula

$$Q_1 x_1 Q_2 x_2 \dots Q_n x_n \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$
$$Q_i \in \{\forall, \exists\}$$

e.g.

$$\forall x_1 \exists x_2 \forall x_3 ((x_1 \vee \bar{x}_2) \wedge (\bar{x}_1 \vee x_3))$$

Note: Since there are no unbound variables, a QBF is always either *true* or *false*

TQBF = the set of all true QBFs

TQBF \in PSPACE

$$\psi = Q_1 x_1 Q_2 x_2 \dots Q_n x_n \varphi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$$

- If $Q_1 = \forall$, recursively check that ψ is true for *both* $x_1 = 0$ and $x_1 = 1$
- If $Q_1 = \exists$, recursively check that ψ is true for *either* $x_1 = 0$ or $x_1 = 1$
- Reuse space for recursive calls!

TQBF is PSPACE-hard

- Pick any $L \in \text{PSPACE}$, and let M be a TM recognizing L in space $S(n)$

TQBF is PSPACE-hard

- Pick any $L \in PSPACE$, and let M be a TM recognizing L in space $S(n)$
- **Goal:** Define $\psi_{M,x} : \{0,1\}^{2m} \rightarrow QBF$ s.t. $\psi_{M,x}(C, C') \in TQBF$ iff there's a path from C to C' in the config. graph of M on input x
- We need to construct a poly-space representation of $\psi_{M,x}(C_{start}, C_{accept})$ in polynomial time

TQBF is PSPACE-hard

- Define ψ_i such that $\psi_i(C, C')$ is *true* iff there is a path in the configuration graph from C to C' of length at most 2^i

TQBF is PSPACE-hard

- Define ψ_i such that $\psi_i(C, C')$ is *true* iff there is a path in the configuration graph from C to C' of length at most 2^i
- $\psi_0(C, C')$ is constructible in poly-space using the techniques of Cook's Theorem

TQBF is PSPACE-hard

- Define ψ_i such that $\psi_i(C, C')$ is *true* iff there is a path in the configuration graph from C to C' of length at most 2^i
- $\psi_0(C, C')$ is constructible in poly-space using the techniques of Cook's Theorem
- $\psi_m(C, C') = \psi_{M,x}(C, C')$

TQBF is PSPACE-hard

- Inductive definition of ψ_i based on existence of a midpoint of a path (like in Savitch's Theorem):

$$\psi_i(C, C') \iff \exists C'' . \psi_{i-1}(C, C'') \wedge \psi_{i-1}(C'', C')$$

TQBF is PSPACE-hard

- Inductive definition of ψ_i based on existence of a midpoint of a path (like in Savitch's Theorem):

$$\psi_i(C, C') \iff \exists C'' . \psi_{i-1}(C, C'') \wedge \psi_{i-1}(C'', C')$$

- **Problem:** ψ_i is twice the length of ψ_{i-1} !
 $\Rightarrow \psi_m$ is of length exponential in m

TQBF is PSPACE-hard

- Inductive definition of ψ_i based on existence of a midpoint of a path (like in Savitch's Theorem):

$$\psi_i(C, C') \iff \exists C'' . \psi_{i-1}(C, C'') \wedge \psi_{i-1}(C'', C')$$

- **Problem:** ψ_i is twice the length of ψ_{i-1} !
 $\Rightarrow \psi_m$ is of length exponential in m
- **Solution:** add universally-quantified variables so that ψ_{i-1} only needs to be mentioned once

TQBF is PSPACE-hard

$$\psi_i(C, C') \iff \exists C'' . \psi_{i-1}(C, C'') \wedge \psi_{i-1}(C'', C')$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i(C, C') &\iff \exists C'' \forall D_1 \forall D_2 . \\ &\quad \left((D_1 = C \wedge D_2 = C'') \vee (D_1 = C'' \wedge D_2 = C') \right) \\ &\quad \Rightarrow \psi_{i-1}(D_1, D_2) \end{aligned}$$

TQBF is PSPACE-hard

$$\psi_i(C, C') \iff \exists C''. \psi_{i-1}(C, C'') \wedge \psi_{i-1}(C'', C')$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_i(C, C') &\iff \exists C'' \forall D_1 \forall D_2. \\ &\quad ((D_1 = C \wedge D_2 = C'') \vee (D_1 = C'' \wedge D_2 = C')) \\ &\quad \Rightarrow \psi_{i-1}(D_1, D_2) \end{aligned}$$

QED