

Probabilistic Reduction from PH to $\oplus P$

This material was presented in class on November 13, 2012. We wish to prove

Arora-Barak's Lemma 17.17: For any constants c and m in \mathcal{N} , there exists a probabilistic polynomial-time algorithm f such that, for any Σ_c SAT instance ψ ,

$$\begin{aligned}\psi \text{ is true} &\longrightarrow \Pr[f(\psi) \in \oplus\text{SAT}] \geq 1 - 2^{-m} \\ \psi \text{ is false} &\longrightarrow \Pr[f(\psi) \in \oplus\text{SAT}] \leq 2^{-m}\end{aligned}$$

The \oplus SAT version of the Valiant-Vazirani lemma, which was presented in class on November 8, 2012, gives us this result for $c = 1$. We will prove by induction on c that the result holds not only for Σ_c SAT instances but also for Π_c SAT instances.

From the algorithm f that reduces SAT to \oplus SAT with correctness probability $1 - 2^{-m}$, we can easily construct an algorithm f' that reduces coSAT to \oplus SAT with the same correctness probability: Just let $f'(\psi) = f(\psi) + 1$, where addition of formulas and the formula "1" are as defined in Chapter 17 (and in class on November 8). So the base case ($c = 1$ for both Σ and Π) of what we're trying to prove is true. Our inductive hypothesis is that it is true for $c - 1$. In particular, it holds for instances ψ of Π_{c-1} SAT. We will use this to prove that it holds for instances ϕ of Σ_c SAT. Any such ϕ is of the form

$$\phi(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_c) = \exists x_1 \forall x_2 \cdots Q_c x_c \phi'(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_c),$$

where each of the x_i 's is a *string* of boolean variables, and Q_c is \exists if c is odd and \forall if c is even. Note that ϕ is of the form $\exists x_1 \psi(x_1)$, where ψ is an instance of Π_{c-1} SAT. By our inductive hypothesis, for any $m \in \mathcal{N}$, there is a probabilistic, polynomial-time algorithm f such that $\rho(z, x_1) = (f(\psi(x_1)))(z)$, $\beta(x_1) = \oplus_z \rho(z, x_1)$, and, with probability at least $1 - 2^{-(m+1)}$, $\beta(x_1) = \psi(x_1)$ (i.e., with probability at least $1 - 2^{-(m+1)}$, $\exists x_1 \beta(x_1)$ if and only if $\exists x_1 \psi(x_1)$).

We now examine the proof of the (USAT version of the) Valiant-Vazirani lemma and note that it is *oblivious* in the sense that it does not use the structure of the formula ϕ when producing the formula $\tau(x, y)$. Obliviousness implies that, for any boolean function β on a string x_1 of n boolean variables that can be encoded by a formula of size $\text{poly}(n)$, the input 1^n is sufficient for the Valiant-Vazirani reduction to produce a formula $\tau(x_1, y)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\exists x_1 \beta(x_1) &\longrightarrow \Pr[\oplus_{x_1, y} (\tau(x_1, y) \wedge (\beta(x_1) = 1))] \geq \frac{1}{8n} \\ \neg \exists x_1 \beta(x_1) &\longrightarrow \Pr[\oplus_{x_1, y} (\tau(x_1, y) \wedge (\beta(x_1) = 1))] = 0.\end{aligned}$$

One can use the same procedure as we used to convert the USAT version of Valiant-Vazirani to the \oplus SAT version of Valiant-Vazirani in order to produce a formula α that, with probability $1 - 2^{-(m+1)}$, is in \oplus SAT if and only if $\exists x_1 \beta(x_1)$.

Finally, we compose these two reductions to transform our original instance ϕ of $\Sigma_c\text{SAT}$ to a $\oplus\text{SAT}$ instance α such that, with probability at least $1 - 2^{-m}$, $\phi \in \Sigma_c\text{SAT}$ if and only if $\alpha \in \oplus\text{SAT}$. The error probability is at most 2^{-m} , because an error occurs if and only if there is disagreement between $\phi = \exists x_1 \psi(x_1)$ and $\exists x_1 \beta(x_1)$ (which occurs with probability at most $2^{-(m+1)}$) or there is disagreement between $\exists x_1 \beta(x_1)$ and $\alpha \in \oplus\text{SAT}$ (which also occurs with probability at most $2^{-(m+1)}$). We use the same “add 1” trick as we used for SAT and coSAT to conclude that the result holds for $\Pi_c\text{SAT}$ if it holds for $\Sigma_c\text{SAT}$.