

# The Sipser-Gacs Theorem

This material was covered in class on February 24, 2015.

**Theorem:**  $\text{BPP} \subseteq \Sigma_2^P \cap \Pi_2^P$

**Proof:** Because BPP is closed under complement, it suffices to show that  $\text{BPP} \subseteq \Sigma_2^P$ . Let  $L$  be a language in BPP and  $M$  be a machine that accepts  $L$  and has error probability at most  $2^{-n}$ . Let  $m = \text{poly}(n)$  be the length of the random strings that  $M$  uses on inputs  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ . We denote by  $M(x, r)$  the output of  $M$  on input  $x$  when  $M$  uses random string  $r$ .

For  $x \in \{0, 1\}^n$ , let  $S_x$  be the set of strings  $r \in \{0, 1\}^m$  such that  $M(x, r) = 1$ . If  $r$  is chosen uniformly at random from  $\{0, 1\}^m$ , then  $r$  is in  $S_x$  with probability at most  $2^{-n}$  if  $x \notin L$ , and  $r$  is in  $S_x$  with probability at least  $1 - 2^{-n}$  if  $x \in L$ .

Let  $k = m/n + 1$ , and consider a sequence  $U = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k)$  of strings in  $\{0, 1\}^m$ . Each such  $U$  defines a graph  $G_U$  on vertex set  $\{0, 1\}^m$ . The edge  $\{r, s\}$  is present in  $E(G_U)$  if and only if there is a  $u_i \in U$  such that  $r = s \oplus u_i$ , where  $\oplus$  denotes bitwise-xor. Let  $\Gamma_U(S)$  be the *neighborhood* of  $S \subseteq V(G_U)$ , i.e., all  $r \in V(G_U) = \{0, 1\}^m$  such that  $r = s \oplus u_i$ , for some  $u_i \in U$  and  $s \in S$ .

Note first that, if  $x \notin L$ , then there is no  $U$  such that  $\Gamma_U(S_x)$  is all of  $V(G_U) = \{0, 1\}^m$ . Because the degree of each node in  $G_U$  is  $k$ , the total number of neighbors of  $S_x$  is at most  $k \cdot |S_x|$ . (It need not be exactly  $k \cdot |S_x|$ , because the  $u_i$ 's are not necessarily all distinct.) Because  $x \notin L$ ,  $k \cdot |S_x| \leq k \cdot 2^{m-n} = (k/2^n) \cdot 2^m$ . Recall that  $k = m/n + 1 = \text{poly}(n)$ . Thus,  $(k/2^n) < 1$ , for all sufficiently large  $n$ , and  $|\Gamma_U(S_x)| = (k/2^n) \cdot 2^m < 2^m = |V(G_U)|$ .

We will use *the probabilistic method* to show that, if  $x \in L$ , there is a  $U$  such that  $\Gamma_U(S_x)$  is all of  $V(G_U) = \{0, 1\}^m$ . Consider  $U = (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k)$ , where the  $u_i$  are chosen independently and uniformly at random from  $\{0, 1\}^m$ . We wish to prove that, for such a randomly chosen sequence  $U$ , the probability that  $\Gamma_U(S_x) \neq \{0, 1\}^m$  is less than 1. First, we compute the probability that an arbitrary  $r \in \{0, 1\}^m$  is not in  $\Gamma_U(S_x)$ . Recall that each  $u_i$  is a uniformly random  $m$ -bit string. This implies that, for fixed  $i$ , the set  $S_i = \{s \oplus u_i \text{ s.t. } s \in S_x\}$  is distributed uniformly over all subsets of  $\{0, 1\}^m$  that have size  $|S_x| \geq 2^m - 2^{m-n}$ . The probability that  $r \notin S_i$  is thus  $(2^m - |S_x|)/2^m \leq (2^m - 2^m + 2^{m-n})/2^m = 2^{-n}$ . The probability that  $r$  is not in  $\Gamma_U(S_x)$  is the probability that it is not in  $S_i$  for any  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ; this probability is at most  $2^{-nk}$ , because the  $u_i$  are independent. By the union bound (see Appendix A.2 in your textbook), the probability that there is at least one  $r$  that is not in  $\Gamma_U(S_x)$  is at most  $2^{m-nk} = 2^{-n} < 1$ .

The conclusions of the last two paragraphs give us the following  $\Sigma_2^P$  expression for membership in  $L$ :

$$x \in L \text{ if and only if } \exists \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k\} \subset \{0, 1\}^m \forall r \in \{0, 1\}^m \bigvee_{i=1}^k M(x, r \oplus u_i) = 1.$$

□