Boolean Circuits and the Karp-Lipton Theorem

This material was presented in class on February 17, 2015.

Before presenting the proof of the Karp-Lipton Theorem we covered Theorem 2.18, Definitions 6.1, 6.2, and 6.5, Claim 6.8, and the UHALT problem. These items are all presented clearly in the textbook and won’t be repeated here.

Karp-Lipton Theorem: If NP ⊆ P/poly, then PH = Σ₂.

Proof: It suffices to show that, if NP ⊆ P/poly, then Π₂SAT ∈ Σ₂.

Recall that Π₂SAT consists of all true QBFs of the form

∀u ∈ {0, 1}^n ∃v ∈ {0, 1}^n φ(u, v) = 1, (1)

where φ is a quantifier-free boolean formula.

Note that (1) is of the form ∀u ∈ {0, 1}^n [SAT]; that is, for any fixed φ and u, the part of (1) that begins with ∃ is just ∃v ∈ {0, 1}^n φ_u(v) = 1, where φ_u(·) is the formula φ(·, ·) with the first n boolean variables instantiated as in u and the last n boolean variables left free. This is, of course, a SAT instance.

Our hypothesis is that SAT ∈ P/poly. So there is a polynomial p and a p(n)-sized circuit family \{C_n\} such that

∀φ, u C_n(φ, u) = 1 ↔ ∃v ∈ {0, 1}^n φ_u(v) = 1.

Here, “C_n(φ, u)” means “the circuit C_n evaluated on the SAT instance determined by φ and u.”

Recall that there is a polynomial-sized circuit family \{C'_n\} that reduces the search problem for SAT to the decision problem for SAT. Given an oracle that decides SAT, a circuit C'_n can produce an assignment that satisfies a formula, provided such an assignment exists. Whenever C'_n needs to make an oracle call on a k-variable formula and feed the answer to a gate g, it can instead feed that formula to C_k and feed the output to g. There will be a polynomial number q(n) of such calls, the sizes k_1, ..., k_{q(n)} are all polynomial in n, and the circuits C_k are of size polynomial in k. Therefore, under the hypothesis that SAT ∈ P/poly, we can “compose” these circuit families \{C_n\} and \{C'_n\} to get a polynomial-sized circuit family \{D_n\} that, given a SAT instance as input, produces a satisfying assignment if one exists. (We need the hypothesis to assert the existence of \{C_n\} but not to assert the existence of \{C'_n\}.) Let w(n) be the (polynomial) number of bits needed to encode D_n. Denote by D_n(φ, u) the output of D_n on the formula φ_u determined by φ and u.

Now consider the following Σ₂ expression:

∃D_n ∈ {0, 1}^{w(n)} ∀u ∈ {0, 1}^n φ_u(D_n(φ, u)) = 1. (2)

We have just argued that, if (1) is true and NP ⊆ P/poly, then (2) is true. On the other hand, if (1) is false, then (2) is also false, regardless of whether NP ⊆ P/poly. Thus, under the assumption that NP ⊆ P/poly, the Π₂SAT formula (1) is equivalent to the Σ₂ expression (2).