CS155a: E-Commerce

Lecture 8: October 2, 2001

Business Models for Online Content Distribution

Napster Client-Server Interaction

Client	hello
	ack
MP3-file ₁	Client ₁ 's IP address Names of MP3s on Client ₁ 's Machine Request
MP3-file2	IP address of online user
: MP3-file _n	(client ₂) who has requested file
	[repeat]
	goodbye
	ack

Searches a db of currently online users for one that has previously stored the requested MP3. Adds client₁ and its list to db. Removes client₁ and its list from db

Notes on Client-Server Interaction

- Proprietary protocol and db search.
- •No MP3 files stored on server.
- Don't need usernames. Could have made the service anonymous.
- No need to save IP addresses between sessions. Many are assigned dynamically.
- Discussion point: Are anonymity and memorylessness threats or opportunities for business?

Napster Client-Client (P2P) Interaction



Note: This part uses "standard Internet protocols," e.g., FTP

Napster History

- 1987: MP3 format developed by Karlheinz Brandenburg of Fraunhofer Gesellschaft.
 "CD ripping" now feasible.
- 1999: Shawn Fanning develops Napster, believing he has "bypassed" copyright law. Napster has >25M users in its first year.
- Dec., 1999: RIAA sues Napster for "contributory and vicarious" copyright infringement.
- April, 2000: Metallica sues Napster, Yale, Indiana Univ., and USC. (Yale bans the use of Napster within a week.)

Napster History, continued

- July, 2000: US District Judge Patel grants RIAA's request for an injunction. The injunction is temporarily stayed soon thereafter.
- October, 2000: Napster announces a partnership with Bertlesmann AG (one of the "major labels" in the industry whose trade association is suing it!).
- January, 2001: Napster and Bertlesmann say that they will roll out a "subscription service" by "early summer" and will use "DRM technology."

Napster History, continued

- February, 2001: Ninth Circuit upholds lower court's findings that Napster is guilty of contributory and vicarious infringement.
- Summer, 2001: Napster and Bertlesmann fail to roll out subscription service.
- September, 2001: Napster reaches a settlement with music publishers (but not with RIAA record labels). However, CNET.com reports the number of users has "dropped from tens of millions...to almost zero."
 - ? Napster, R.I.P. ?

Gnutella P2P File Sharing

- "Pure Peer-to-Peer."
- Peers are called "servents."
- Servents communicate over standard HTTP.
- Goal is "total decentralization." In particular, no Napster-like server that "directs traffic," collects data, and otherwise centralizes control.

"A" Generates a Gnutella Request

- Creates
 - Search String S
 - (Unique) Request ID N
 - Time-to-Live T
- Sends (A, S, N, T) to all of its Gnutella neighbors.

"B" Receives Gnutella Request (A, S, N, T)

- If B has already received request N or T=0, B drops this request and does nothing.
- B looks up S in its local file system and sends (N, Result) to A.
- B sends (B, S, N, T-1) to all of its Gnutella neighbors, and it records the fact that A has made the request N.
- When B receives a response of the form (N, Result) from one of its neighbors, it forwards this response to A.

Gnutella Advantages and Disadvantages

<u>Main Advantage</u> : "Search for S" can be done in many ways, e.g., structured database search, simple text matching, "fuzzy" text matching, etc. "Result" can take many forms.

<u>Main Disadvantage</u> : Inefficiency!

- "Flood" of Requests. If average number of neighbors is C and average TTL is D, each search can cause C^D request messages.
- Natural evolution into many barely-connected subnets, not one "user community."

<u>Other Disadvantage</u> : Request monitoring. (Comes with standard HTTP.)



Gnutella History

- Gnutella was written by Justin Frankel, the 21-year-old founder of Nullsoft.
- Nullsoft posted Gnutella on the Web, March 1999.
- Nullsoft acquired by AOL, June 1999.
- A day later AOL yanked Gnutella, at the bequest of Time Warner.
- People had already downloaded and shared the program.
- Gnutella continues today, run by independent programmers.

Freenet P2P File Sharing

- Works similarly to Gnutella. Exceptions include:
 - Intermediaries store all results. (Diffuses responsibility.)
 - Uses proprietary protocol. (Eliminates HTTP monitorability.)
- Launched by Ian Clarke (Univ.of Edinburgh) in 1997.
- Explicitly anti-censorship, anti-copyright, and pro-anonymity in its goals.

RealNetworks: An Internet Media Delivery Solution

- Full Name: RealNetworks, Inc.
- Employees: 1,000
- Stock Price (RNWK):
 - \$5.04 (at close 10/2/01)
 - 52-week range: \$3.26 to \$42



Real Networks

- Earnings Per Share: -\$0.68
- Provides client and server software for streaming multimedia content over the Internet.

RealNetworks Overview

- Founded by Rob Glaser (as Progressive Networks) in 2/1994.
- Acquired Vivo Software in 3/1998, Xing Technology in 8/1999, and Netzip, Inc. in 1/2000.
- Went public in 11/1997; reached an all-time high (adjusted) price of \$93/share in 2/2000.
- Over 200 million registered users as of 6/2001; RealPlayer is installed on 95% of home PCs.

RealNetworks Stock Chart



RealNetworks Quarterly Revenues



RealNetworks Business Model

- The basic RealPlayer software is free. Users may pay a small fee (~\$20) for an advanced version with more features. Roughly 13% of the RealNetworks revenue stream comes from this.
- Roughly 35% of its revenue stream is from services and advertising.
- Roughly 50% of its revenue stream is from charging broadcasters of streaming data for the RealServer software.
- In summary, the (slightly oversimplified) business model is: Give away the player, sell the server!

Competition in the Market

- Microsoft's Windows Media Player (WMP) has become a competitor; it now offers functionality similar to RealPlayer.
- Microsoft has been very aggressive in its attempts to gain market share:
 - By bundling WMP with Windows, Microsoft incents users to use it instead of RealPlayer; it's one fewer program to download.
 - By signing Windows-only deals with various radio stations (including Boston's WGBH and New York's WNYC), Microsoft is forcing users to use WMP if they want to access these resources.

RealNetworks Maintains Dominant Market Share

RealNetworks has successfully maintained a dominant market share: over 85% of the streams on the Web are RealNetworks-encoded.

- Unlike what Netscape did with its browser, RealNetworks gave away the player for free from the beginning. This was crucial in establishing such a large user base in the first place.
- While Microsoft's content-distribution is just limited to one OS (Windows), RealServer runs on 11 different OSs. Because Windows servers make up only about 20% of the publicly accessible Internet, this poses a significant bottleneck for Microsoft.

"Daft Club" Model

Purchase Daft Punk CD and you also get a "personalized code" that gives you access to the Daft Club server. From it you get:

- Ability to download a new Daft Punk "bonus track" each month. The bonus tracks are not released on CDs.
- Access to the rest of the Daft-Club user community.

Bonus tracks will be wrapped with DRM metadata that says they can't be copied. Almost surely circumventable, but would many people bother circumventing?

"Napster++ as Superdistribution" Model (Napster--??)

- Napster users pay monthly subscription fee.
- They can download DRM-wrapped songs from Bertlesmann server. (And other labels if others also settle.)
- When they redistribute via P2P file sharing, they get rebates on their next month's subscription fees. DRM system keeps track.
- They retain the chat-room, "Napster community" network effects.

Again, probably circumventable. But is there a price point at which circumvention would not be worth it?

"Street Performer" Model

Novelist gives chapter 1 to publisher and promises rest of book after she is paid \$X.

- Publisher posts chapter 1, collects payments, issues signed receipts to customers ("donors"?).
- If publisher collects \$X+Y, he gets rest of book from novelist, posts it, gives \$X to novelist, and keeps \$Y.
- If he collects less than \$X+Y, he sends refunds to customers. Also, if novelist doesn't deliver rest of book, she gets bad publicity and customers get refunds.

"Street Performer" Model (Cont.)

Many variations:

- New author gives first book away.
- Non-anonymous big donors
- Some publishers "edit" and choose, and some don't.
- Famous authors don't have to deliver chapter 1 in advance.

"Hum A Few Bars" Model (K. McCurley, Financial Crypto '00)

"I listen to music in the living room, in the office, in the car, in the shower, and while jogging. I want the music companies, consumer-electronic companies, and data-networking companies to wire these environments so that I can hum a few bars and get the song I've hummed looked up, retrieved from their databases, and piped into my speakers. I'd expect to pay one monthly fee, as I do for cable TV."

JF Note: Can be "all streaming"; no need to clutter your living room floor or your computer disk with a "CD collection." Music will be purely a service, not a product.

Notable Business-Model Components

- + Buy one song at a time (cheap!).
- ? "Lock" content to user.
- "Lock" content to device(s).
- "Play k times."

(+/?/-: Just my guesses.)

Reading Assignment for October 4, 2001

Chapters 3 and 4 of "Blown to Bits," by Philip Evans and Thomas S. Wurster.