

# **CPSC156: The Internet Co-Evolution of Technology and Society**

**Lecture 15: March 8, 2007**

**Identity, Anonymity, and Accountability  
in Information Systems**

# Reading Assignment

**“Identity and Anonymity: Some Conceptual Distinctions and Issues for Research,” by G. T. Marx**

[web.mit.edu/gtmarx/www/identity.html](http://web.mit.edu/gtmarx/www/identity.html)

Posits 7 types of “identity knowledge.”

# 1. A Person's Legal Name

- Usually an answer to the question "Who are you?"
- Involves connection to biological and social lineage.
- Many people may have the same name, but the assumption is often made that there is at most one person of each name born to particular parents at a given time and place.

## 2. A Person's Address

- Usually an answer to the question "Where are you?"
- Involves location and reachability in actual space or cyberspace.
- Need not involve knowing the person's name or even a pseudonym.
- Note that a person may be unreachable even if his name and address are known; this was true of, *e.g.*, Robert Vesco when he was a fugitive in Cuba.

# 3. Unique ID: Linkable

- Unique alphanumeric strings, biometric patterns, or pseudonyms that *can* be linked back to actual people *but need not be*.
- Involves trusted intermediaries and conditions under which they should link IDs to people.
- Social security numbers could be used in this way if we had widespread agreement on how they should be used and when they should be linked to names and addresses.

# 4. Unique ID: Unlinkable

- Unique alphanumeric strings, biometric patterns, or pseudonyms that *cannot* be linked back to actual people.
- Provides a means of discerning information about people without identifying them; someone tested for AIDS may be given a number that he can use to call for results but never have to reveal his name or address.
- Spies, undercover operatives, and con artists may use fraudulent IDs and never reveal their real names to those they deal with.

## 5. Distinct Appearance or Behavior Patterns

- Some information is necessarily revealed when one interacts with others.
- “Being unnamed is not necessarily the same as being unknown.” To a limited extent, you “know” the person you see at 8:15 a.m. on the M23 bus every day.
- Leakage of identifying information is a condition of social existence and has been greatly expanded by new technologies.

# 6. Social Categorization

- Forms of identification that do not distinguish among members of a group; the group may be defined by gender, ethnicity, religion, age, economic class, *etc.*
- Number of categories has exploded with new technology and expanded bureaucracy.
- New categories (credit scores, IQs, life-style categories used in marketing, *etc.*) may or may not be known by the people in them; this was not true of traditional social categories.



# 7. Certification: Proof of the possession of knowledge or skill

- Knowing a secret password, being able to swim, *etc.* are ways to prove that one is entitled to certain privileges or is a member of a certain group.
- These proofs may be linkable to individual people (as passwords often are) but need not be.
- Provides essential balance between the need to control sensitive personal information and the need to restrict access to and prevent abuse of systems.

# "Policies" for the Handling of Sensitive Data (not from Marx)

- Collection
- Retention, destruction
- Use, mining
- Sharing, selling
- Updating, cleaning, correcting
- De-identifying, scrubbing, re-identifying
- . . .

# Basic Questions (1)

- What are the best tools for expressing and analyzing policies?
- How can an organization ensure that it is following its own data-management policies?
- How can those who transmit data to an organization ensure themselves that the organization is following its data-management policies?

# Basic Questions (2)

- What recourse does one have when an organization that handles one's data violates a policy?
- Are there "implicit policies" or, more generally, when should one be held accountable for actions *not* clearly governed by a specific policy?

# Who is Accountable to Whom?

- Individuals
- Organizations
- Governments
- Technology vendors
- Network operators
- . . .

# When is it ok NOT to be Accountable?

- Anonymous activity?
- Unobservable activity?
- "Pseudonymous" = Unidentifiable but accountable?
- Offline analogs
- ...