

# CPSC 467b: Cryptography and Computer Security

Michael J. Fischer

Lecture 14  
February 27, 2012

## Quadratic Residues, Squares, and Square Roots

- Square Roots Modulo an Odd Prime  $p$

- Square Roots Modulo the Product of Two Odd Primes

- Euler Criterion

## Finding Square Roots

- Square Roots Modulo Special Primes

- Square Roots Modulo General Odd Primes

## QR Probabilistic Cryptosystem

- Summary

## The Legendre and Jacobi Symbols

- The Legendre symbol

- Jacobi Symbol

- Computing the Jacobi Symbol

## Useful Tests of Compositeness

- Solovay-Strassen Test of Compositeness

- Miller-Rabin Test of Compositeness

# Quadratic Residues, Squares, and Square Roots

## Square roots in $\mathbf{Z}_n^*$

Recall from lecture 13 that to find points on an elliptic curve requires solving the equation

$$y^2 = x^3 + ax + b$$

for  $y \pmod{p}$ , and that requires computing square roots in  $\mathbf{Z}_p^*$ .

Squares and square roots have several other cryptographic applications as well.

Today, we take a brief tour of the theory of *quadratic residues*.

## Quadratic residues modulo $n$

An integer  $b$  is a *square root* of  $a$  modulo  $n$  if

$$b^2 \equiv a \pmod{n}.$$

An integer  $a$  is a *quadratic residue (or perfect square)* modulo  $n$  if it has a square root modulo  $n$ .

## Quadratic residues in $\mathbf{Z}_n^*$

If  $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}_n$  and  $b^2 \equiv a \pmod{n}$ , then

$$b \in \mathbf{Z}_n^* \text{ iff } a \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*.$$

Why? Because

$$\gcd(b, n) = 1 \text{ iff } \gcd(a, n) = 1$$

This follows from the fact that  $b^2 = a + un$  for some  $u$ , so if  $p$  is a prime divisor of  $n$ , then

$$p \mid b \text{ iff } p \mid a.$$

Assume that all quadratic residues and square roots are in  $\mathbf{Z}_n^*$  unless stated otherwise.

## QR<sub>n</sub> and QNR<sub>n</sub>

We partition  $\mathbf{Z}_n^*$  into two parts.

$$\text{QR}_n = \{a \in \mathbf{Z}_n^* \mid a \text{ is a quadratic residue modulo } n\}.$$

$$\text{QNR}_n = \mathbf{Z}_n^* - \text{QR}_n.$$

QR<sub>n</sub> is the *set of quadratic residues* modulo  $n$ .

QNR<sub>n</sub> is the *set of quadratic non-residues* modulo  $n$ .

For  $a \in \text{QR}_n$ , we sometimes write

$$\sqrt{a} = \{b \in \mathbf{Z}_n^* \mid b^2 \equiv a \pmod{n}\},$$

the *set of square roots* of  $a$  modulo  $n$ .

## Quadratic residues in $\mathbf{Z}_{15}^*$

The following table shows all elements of  $\mathbf{Z}_{15}^* = \{1, 2, 4, 7, 8, 11, 13, 14\}$  and their squares.

$b$	$b^2 \bmod 15$
1	1
2	4
4	1
7	4
8 = -7	4
11 = -4	1
13 = -2	4
14 = -1	1

Thus,  $\text{QR}_{15} = \{1, 4\}$  and  $\text{QNR}_{15} = \{2, 7, 8, 11, 13, 14\}$ .



## Quadratic residues modulo an odd prime $p$

### Fact

For an odd prime  $p$ ,

- ▶ Every  $a \in \text{QR}_p$  has **exactly two** square roots in  $\mathbf{Z}_p^*$ ;
- ▶ **Exactly 1/2** of the elements of  $\mathbf{Z}_p^*$  are quadratic residues.

In other words, if  $a \in \text{QR}_p$ ,

$$|\sqrt{a}| = 2.$$

$$|\text{QR}_n| = |\mathbf{Z}_p^*|/2 = \frac{p-1}{2}.$$

## Quadratic residues in $\mathbf{Z}_{11}^*$

The following table shows all elements  $b \in \mathbf{Z}_{11}^*$  and their squares.

$b$	$b^2 \bmod 11$	$b$	$-b$	$b^2 \bmod 11$
1	1	6	-5	3
2	4	7	-4	5
3	9	8	-3	9
4	5	9	-2	4
5	3	10	-1	1

Thus,  $\text{QR}_{11} = \{1, 3, 4, 5, 9\}$  and  $\text{QNR}_{11} = \{2, 6, 7, 8, 10\}$ .

## Proof that $|\sqrt{a}| = 2$ modulo an odd prime $p$

Let  $a \in \text{QR}_p$ .

- ▶ It must have a square root  $b \in \mathbf{Z}_p^*$ .
- ▶  $(-b)^2 \equiv b^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$ , so  $-b \in \sqrt{a}$ .
- ▶ Moreover,  $b \not\equiv -b \pmod{p}$  since  $p \nmid 2b$ , so  $|\sqrt{a}| \geq 2$ .
- ▶ Now suppose  $c \in \sqrt{a}$ . Then  $c^2 \equiv a \equiv b^2 \pmod{p}$ .
- ▶ Hence,  $p \mid c^2 - b^2 = (c - b)(c + b)$ .
- ▶ Since  $p$  is prime, then either  $p \mid (c - b)$  or  $p \mid (c + b)$  (or both).
- ▶ If  $p \mid (c - b)$ , then  $c \equiv b \pmod{p}$ .
- ▶ If  $p \mid (c + b)$ , then  $c \equiv -b \pmod{p}$ .
- ▶ Hence,  $c = \pm b$ , so  $\sqrt{a} = \{b, -b\}$ , and  $|\sqrt{a}| = 2$ .

## Proof that half the elements of $\mathbf{Z}_p^*$ are in $\text{QR}_p$

- ▶ Each  $b \in \mathbf{Z}_p^*$  is the square root of exactly one element of  $\text{QR}_p$ .
- ▶ The mapping  $b \mapsto b^2 \pmod p$  is a 2-to-1 mapping from  $\mathbf{Z}_p^*$  to  $\text{QR}_p$ .
- ▶ Therefore,  $|\text{QR}_p| = \frac{1}{2}|\mathbf{Z}_p^*|$  as desired.

## Quadratic residues modulo $pq$

We now turn to the case where  $n = pq$  is the product of two distinct odd primes.

### Fact

Let  $n = pq$  for  $p, q$  distinct odd primes.

- ▶ Every  $a \in QR_n$  has **exactly four** square roots in  $\mathbf{Z}_n^*$ ;
- ▶ **Exactly 1/4** of the elements of  $\mathbf{Z}_n^*$  are quadratic residues.

In other words, if  $a \in QR_n$ ,

$$|\sqrt{a}| = 4.$$

$$|QR_n| = |\mathbf{Z}_n^*|/4 = \frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{4}.$$

## Proof sketch

- ▶ Let  $a \in \text{QR}_n$ . Then  $a \in \text{QR}_p$  and  $a \in \text{QR}_q$ .
- ▶ There are numbers  $b_p \in \text{QR}_p$  and  $b_q \in \text{QR}_q$  such that
  - ▶  $\sqrt{a} \pmod{p} = \{\pm b_p\}$ , and
  - ▶  $\sqrt{a} \pmod{q} = \{\pm b_q\}$ .
- ▶ Each pair  $(x, y)$  with  $x \in \{\pm b_p\}$  and  $y \in \{\pm b_q\}$  can be combined to yield a distinct element  $b_{x,y}$  in  $\sqrt{a} \pmod{n}$ .<sup>1</sup>
- ▶ Hence,  $|\sqrt{a} \pmod{n}| = 4$ , and  $|\text{QR}_n| = |\mathbf{Z}_n^*|/4$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>To find  $b_{x,y}$  from  $x$  and  $y$  requires use of the Chinese Remainder theorem.

## Testing for membership in $\mathbb{QR}_p$

### Theorem (Euler Criterion)

*An integer  $a$  is a non-trivial<sup>2</sup> quadratic residue modulo an odd prime  $p$  iff*

$$a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

### Proof in forward direction.

Let  $a \equiv b^2 \pmod{p}$  for some  $b \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Then

$$a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv (b^2)^{(p-1)/2} \equiv b^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$$

by Euler's theorem, as desired. □

---

<sup>2</sup>A non-trivial quadratic residue is one that is not equivalent to 0 (mod  $p$ ).

## Proof of Euler Criterion

Proof in reverse direction.

Suppose  $a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Clearly  $a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . We find a square root  $b$  of  $a$  modulo  $p$ .

Let  $g$  be a primitive root of  $p$ . Choose  $k$  so that  $a \equiv g^k \pmod{p}$ , and let  $\ell = (p-1)k/2$ . Then

$$g^\ell \equiv g^{(p-1)k/2} \equiv (g^k)^{(p-1)/2} \equiv a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Since  $g$  is a primitive root,  $(p-1) \mid \ell$ . Hence,  $2 \mid k$  and  $k/2$  is an integer.

Let  $b = g^{k/2}$ . Then  $b^2 \equiv g^k \equiv a \pmod{p}$ , so  $b$  is a non-trivial square root of  $a$  modulo  $p$ , as desired. □



# Finding Square Roots

## Finding square roots modulo prime $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$

The Euler criterion lets us test membership in  $\text{QR}_p$  for prime  $p$ , but it doesn't tell us how to quickly find square roots. They are easily found in the special case when  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

### Theorem

Let  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,  $a \in \text{QR}_p$ . Then  $b = a^{(p+1)/4} \in \sqrt{a} \pmod{p}$ .

### Proof.

$p + 1$  is divisible by 4, so  $(p + 1)/4$  is an integer. Then

$$b^2 \equiv (a^{(p+1)/4})^2 \equiv a^{(p+1)/2} \equiv a^{1+(p-1)/2} \equiv a \cdot 1 \equiv a \pmod{p}$$

by the Euler Criterion. □

## Finding square roots for general primes

We now present an algorithm due to D. Shanks<sup>3</sup> that finds square roots of quadratic residues modulo any odd prime  $p$ .

It bears a strong resemblance to the algorithm presented in lecture 9 for factoring the RSA modulus given both the encryption and decryption exponents.

---

<sup>3</sup>Shanks's algorithm appeared in his paper, "Five number-theoretic algorithms", in Proceedings of the Second Manitoba Conference on Numerical Mathematics, Congressus Numerantium, No. VII, 1973, 51–70. Our treatment is taken from the paper by Jan-Christoph Schlage-Puchta, "On Shank's Algorithm for Modular Square Roots", *Applied Mathematics E-Notes*, 5 (2005), 84–88.

## Shank's algorithm

Let  $p$  be an odd prime. Write  $\phi(p) = p - 1 = 2^s t$ , where  $t$  is odd.  
(Recall:  $s$  is # trailing 0's in the binary expansion of  $p - 1$ .)

Because  $p$  is odd,  $p - 1$  is even, so  $s \geq 1$ .

## A special case

In the special case when  $s = 1$ , then  $p - 1 = 2t$ , so  $p = 2t + 1$ .

Writing the odd number  $t$  as  $2\ell + 1$  for some integer  $\ell$ , we have

$$p = 2(2\ell + 1) + 1 = 4\ell + 3,$$

so  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

This is exactly the case that we handled above.

## Overall structure of Shank's algorithm

Let  $p - 1 = 2^s t$  be as above, where  $p$  is an odd prime.

Assume  $a \in \text{QR}_p$  is a quadratic residue and  $u \in \text{QNR}_p$  is a quadratic non-residue.

We can easily find  $u$  by choosing random elements of  $\mathbf{Z}_p^*$  and applying the Euler Criterion.

The goal is to find  $x$  such that  $x^2 \equiv a \pmod{p}$ .

## Shanks's algorithm

1. Let  $s, t$  satisfy  $p - 1 = 2^s t$  and  $t$  odd.
2. Let  $u \in \text{QNR}_p$ .
3.  $k = s$
4.  $z = u^t \pmod p$
5.  $x = a^{(t+1)/2} \pmod p$
6.  $b = a^t \pmod p$
7. while ( $b \not\equiv 1 \pmod p$ ) {
8.     let  $m$  be the least integer with  $b^{2^m} \equiv 1 \pmod p$
9.      $y = z^{2^{k-m-1}} \pmod p$
10.     $z = y^2 \pmod p$
11.     $b = bz \pmod p$
12.     $x = xy \pmod p$
13.     $k = m$
14. }
15. return  $x$

## Loop invariant

The congruence

$$x^2 \equiv ab \pmod{p}$$

is easily shown to be a loop invariant.

It's clearly true initially since  $x^2 \equiv a^{t+1}$  and  $b \equiv a^t \pmod{p}$ .

Each time through the loop,  $a$  is unchanged,  $b$  gets multiplied by  $y^2$  (lines 10 and 11), and  $x$  gets multiplied by  $y$  (line 12); hence the invariant remains true regardless of the value of  $y$ .

If the program terminates, we have  $b \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , so  $x^2 \equiv a$ , and  $x$  is a square root of  $a \pmod{p}$ .



## Termination proof (sketch)

The algorithm terminates after at most  $s - 1$  iterations of the loop.

To see why, we look at the orders<sup>4</sup> of  $b$  and  $z \pmod{p}$  and show the following loop invariant:

*At the start of each loop iteration (before line 8),  $\text{ord}(b)$  is a power of 2 and  $\text{ord}(b) < \text{ord}(z) = 2^k$ .*

After line 8,  $m < k$  since  $2^m = \text{ord}(b) < 2^k$ . Line 13 sets  $k = m$  for the next iteration, so  $k$  decreases on each iteration.

The loop terminates when  $b \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . Then  $\text{ord}(b) = 1 < 2^k$ , so  $k \geq 1$ . Hence, the loop is executed at most  $s - 1$  times.

---

<sup>4</sup>Recall that the order of an element  $g$  modulo  $p$  is the least positive integer  $k$  such that  $g^k \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ .

# QR Probabilistic Cryptosystem

## A hard problem associated with quadratic residues

Let  $n = pq$ , where  $p$  and  $q$  are distinct odd primes.

Recall that each  $a \in \text{QR}_n$  has 4 square roots, and  $1/4$  of the elements in  $\mathbf{Z}_n^*$  are quadratic residues.

Some elements of  $\mathbf{Z}_n^*$  are easily recognized as non-residues, but there is a subset of non-residues (which we denote as  $Q_n^{00}$ ) that are *hard to distinguish* from quadratic residues without knowing  $p$  and  $q$ .

This allows for public key encryption of single bits: A random element of  $\text{QR}_n$  encrypts 1; a random element of  $Q_n^{00}$  encrypts 0.

## Quadratic residues modulo $n = pq$

Let  $n = pq$ ,  $p, q$  distinct odd primes.

We divide the numbers in  $\mathbf{Z}_n^*$  into four classes depending on their membership in  $\text{QR}_p$  and  $\text{QR}_q$ .<sup>5</sup>

- ▶ Let  $Q_n^{11} = \{a \in \mathbf{Z}_n^* \mid a \in \text{QR}_p \cap \text{QR}_q\}$ .
- ▶ Let  $Q_n^{10} = \{a \in \mathbf{Z}_n^* \mid a \in \text{QR}_p \cap \text{QNR}_q\}$ .
- ▶ Let  $Q_n^{01} = \{a \in \mathbf{Z}_n^* \mid a \in \text{QNR}_p \cap \text{QR}_q\}$ .
- ▶ Let  $Q_n^{00} = \{a \in \mathbf{Z}_n^* \mid a \in \text{QNR}_p \cap \text{QNR}_q\}$ .

Under these definitions,  $\text{QR}_n = Q_n^{11}$

$$\text{QNR}_n = Q_n^{00} \cup Q_n^{01} \cup Q_n^{10}$$

---

<sup>5</sup>To be strictly formal, we classify  $a \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$  according to whether or not  $(a \bmod p) \in \text{QR}_p$  and whether or not  $(a \bmod q) \in \text{QR}_q$ .

## Quadratic residuosity problem

### Definition (Quadratic residuosity problem)

The *quadratic residuosity problem* is to decide, given  $a \in \mathbb{Q}_n^{00} \cup \mathbb{Q}_n^{11}$ , whether or not  $a \in \mathbb{Q}_n^{11}$ .

### Fact

*There is no known feasible algorithm for solving the quadratic residuosity problem that gives the correct answer significantly more than 1/2 the time for uniformly distributed random  $a \in \mathbb{Q}_n^{00} \cup \mathbb{Q}_n^{11}$ , unless the factorization of  $n$  is known.*

## Goldwasser-Micali probabilistic cryptosystem

The Goldwasser-Micali cryptosystem is based on the assumed hardness of the quadratic residuosity problem.

The public key consist of a pair  $e = (n, y)$ , where  $n = pq$  for distinct odd primes  $p, q$ , and  $y$  is any member of  $Q_n^{00}$ .

The private key consists of  $p$ .

The message space is  $\mathcal{M} = \{0, 1\}$ . (Single bits!)

To encrypt  $m \in \mathcal{M}$ , Alice chooses a random  $a \in QR_n$ .

She does this by choosing a random member of  $\mathbf{Z}_n^*$  and squaring it.

If  $m = 0$ , then  $c = a \bmod n \in Q_n^{11}$ .

If  $m = 1$ , then  $c = ay \bmod n \in Q_n^{00}$ .

The problem of finding  $m$  given  $c$  is equivalent to the problem of testing if  $c \in QR_n (= Q_n^{11})$ , given that  $c \in Q_n^{00} \cup Q_n^{11}$ .

## Decryption in Goldwasser-Micali encryption

Bob, knowing the private key  $p$ , can use the Euler Criterion to quickly determine whether or not  $c \in \mathbb{QR}_p$  and hence whether  $c \in Q_n^{11}$  or  $c \in Q_n^{00}$ , thereby determining  $m$ .

Eve's problem of determining whether  $c$  encrypts 0 or 1 is the same as the problem of distinguishing between membership in  $Q_n^{00}$  and  $Q_n^{11}$ , which is just the quadratic residuosity problem, assuming the ciphertexts are uniformly distributed.

One can show that every element of  $Q_n^{11}$  is equally likely to be chosen as the ciphertext  $c$  in case  $m = 0$ , and every element of  $Q_n^{00}$  is equally likely to be chosen as the ciphertext  $c$  in case  $m = 1$ . If the messages are also uniformly distributed, then any element of  $Q_n^{00} \cup Q_n^{11}$  is equally likely to be the ciphertext.

## Important facts about quadratic residues

1. If  $p$  is odd prime, then  $|\text{QR}_p| = |\mathbf{Z}_p^*|/2$ , and for each  $a \in \text{QR}_p$ ,  $|\sqrt{a}| = 2$ .
2. If  $n = pq$ ,  $p \neq q$  odd primes, then  $|\text{QR}_n| = |\mathbf{Z}_n^*|/4$ , and for each  $a \in \text{QR}_n$ ,  $|\sqrt{a}| = 4$ .
3. Euler criterion:  $a \in \text{QR}_p$  iff  $a^{(p-1)/2} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ ,  $p$  odd prime.
4. If  $n$  is odd prime,  $a \in \text{QR}_n$ , can feasibly find  $y \in \sqrt{a}$ .
5. If  $n = pq$ ,  $p \neq q$  odd primes, then distinguishing  $Q_n^{00}$  from  $Q_n^{11}$  is believed to be infeasible. Hence, infeasible to find  $y \in \sqrt{a}$ . Why?

If not, one could attempt to find  $y \in \sqrt{a}$ , check that  $y^2 \equiv a \pmod{n}$ , and conclude that  $a \in Q^{11}$  if successful.



# The Legendre and Jacobi Symbols

## Legendre symbol

Let  $p$  be an odd prime,  $a$  an integer. The *Legendre symbol*  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right)$  is a number in  $\{-1, 0, +1\}$ , defined as follows:

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \begin{cases} +1 & \text{if } a \text{ is a non-trivial quadratic residue modulo } p \\ 0 & \text{if } a \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \\ -1 & \text{if } a \text{ is not a quadratic residue modulo } p \end{cases}$$

By the Euler Criterion, we have

### Theorem

*Let  $p$  be an odd prime. Then*

$$\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \equiv a^{\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)} \pmod{p}$$

Note that this theorem holds even when  $p \mid a$ .

## Properties of the Legendre symbol

The Legendre symbol satisfies the following *multiplicative property*:

### Fact

Let  $p$  be an odd prime. Then

$$\left(\frac{a_1 a_2}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a_1}{p}\right) \left(\frac{a_2}{p}\right)$$

Not surprisingly, if  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are both non-trivial quadratic residues, then so is  $a_1 a_2$ . Hence, the fact holds when

$$\left(\frac{a_1}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a_2}{p}\right) = 1.$$

## Product of two non-residues

Suppose  $a_1 \notin \text{QR}_p$ ,  $a_2 \notin \text{QR}_p$ . The above fact asserts that **the product  $a_1 a_2$  is a quadratic residue** since

$$\left(\frac{a_1 a_2}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a_1}{p}\right) \left(\frac{a_2}{p}\right) = (-1)(-1) = 1.$$

Here's why.

- ▶ Let  $g$  be a primitive root of  $p$ .
- ▶ Write  $a_1 \equiv g^{k_1} \pmod{p}$  and  $a_2 \equiv g^{k_2} \pmod{p}$ .
- ▶ Both  $k_1$  and  $k_2$  are odd since  $a_1, a_2 \notin \text{QR}_p$ .
- ▶ But then  $k_1 + k_2$  is even.
- ▶ Hence,  $g^{(k_1+k_2)/2}$  is a square root of  $a_1 a_2 \equiv g^{k_1+k_2} \pmod{p}$ , so  $a_1 a_2$  is a quadratic residue.

## The Jacobi symbol

The *Jacobi symbol* extends the Legendre symbol to the case where the “denominator” is an arbitrary odd positive number  $n$ .

Let  $n$  be an odd positive integer with prime factorization  $\prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{e_i}$ . We define the *Jacobi symbol* by

$$\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^k \left(\frac{a}{p_i}\right)^{e_i} \quad (1)$$

The symbol on the left is the Jacobi symbol, and the symbol on the right is the Legendre symbol.

(By convention, this product is 1 when  $k = 0$ , so  $\left(\frac{a}{1}\right) = 1$ .)

The Jacobi symbol extends the Legendre symbol since the two definitions coincide when  $n$  is an odd prime.

## Meaning of Jacobi symbol

What does the Jacobi symbol mean when  $n$  is not prime?

- ▶ If  $\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = +1$ ,  $a$  **might or might not be** a quadratic residue.
- ▶ If  $\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = 0$ , then  $\gcd(a, n) \neq 1$ .
- ▶ If  $\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = -1$  then  $a$  is **definitely not** a quadratic residue.

## Jacobi symbol = +1 for $n = pq$

Let  $n = pq$  for  $p, q$  distinct odd primes. Since

$$\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{p}\right) \left(\frac{a}{q}\right) \quad (2)$$

there are two cases that result in  $\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = 1$ :

1.  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{q}\right) = +1$ , or
2.  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{q}\right) = -1$ .

## Case of both Jacobi symbols = +1

If  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{q}\right) = +1$ , then  $a \in \text{QR}_p \cap \text{QR}_q = \text{QR}_n^{11}$ .

It follows by the Chinese Remainder Theorem that  $a \in \text{QR}_n$ .

This fact was implicitly used in the proof sketch that  $|\sqrt{a}| = 4$ .



## Case of both Jacobi symbols = $-1$

If  $\left(\frac{a}{p}\right) = \left(\frac{a}{q}\right) = -1$ , then  $a \in \text{QNR}_p \cap \text{QNR}_q = \text{Q}_n^{00}$ .

In this case,  $a$  is *not* a quadratic residue modulo  $n$ .

Such numbers  $a$  are sometimes called “pseudo-squares” since they have Jacobi symbol 1 but are not quadratic residues.

## Computing the Jacobi symbol

The Jacobi symbol  $\left(\frac{a}{n}\right)$  is easily computed from its definition (equation 1) and the Euler Criterion, given the factorization of  $n$ .

Similarly,  $\gcd(u, v)$  is easily computed without resort to the Euclidean algorithm given the factorizations of  $u$  and  $v$ .

The remarkable fact about the Euclidean algorithm is that it lets us compute  $\gcd(u, v)$  efficiently, without knowing the factors of  $u$  and  $v$ .

A similar algorithm allows us to compute the Jacobi symbol  $\left(\frac{a}{n}\right)$  efficiently, without knowing the factorization of  $a$  or  $n$ .

## Identities involving the Jacobi symbol

The algorithm is based on identities satisfied by the Jacobi symbol:

$$1. \left(\frac{0}{n}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } n \neq 1; \end{cases}$$

$$2. \left(\frac{2}{n}\right) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } n \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{8} \\ -1 & \text{if } n \equiv \pm 3 \pmod{8}; \end{cases}$$

$$3. \left(\frac{a_1}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{a_2}{n}\right) \text{ if } a_1 \equiv a_2 \pmod{n};$$

$$4. \left(\frac{2a}{n}\right) = \left(\frac{2}{n}\right) \cdot \left(\frac{a}{n}\right);$$

$$5. \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = \begin{cases} \left(\frac{n}{a}\right) & \text{if } a, n \text{ odd and } \neg(a \equiv n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}) \\ -\left(\frac{n}{a}\right) & \text{if } a, n \text{ odd and } a \equiv n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}. \end{cases}$$

## A recursive algorithm for computing Jacobi symbol

```

/* Precondition: a, n >= 0; n is odd */
int jacobi(int a, int n) {
    if (a == 0) /* identity 1 */
        return (n==1) ? 1 : 0;
    if (a == 2) /* identity 2 */
        switch (n%8) {
            case 1: case 7: return 1;
            case 3: case 5: return -1;
        }
    if ( a >= n ) /* identity 3 */
        return jacobi(a%n, n);
    if (a%2 == 0) /* identity 4 */
        return jacobi(2,n)*jacobi(a/2, n);
    /* a is odd */ /* identity 5 */
    return (a%4 == 3 && n%4 == 3) ? -jacobi(n,a) : jacobi(n,a);
}

```

# Useful Tests of Compositeness

## Solovay-Strassen compositeness test

Recall that a test of compositeness for  $n$  is a set of predicates  $\{\tau_a(n)\}_{a \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*}$  such that if  $\tau(n)$  succeeds (is true), then  $n$  is composite.

The *Solovay-Strassen Test* is the set of predicates  $\{\nu_a(n)\}_{a \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*}$ , where

$$\nu_a(n) = \text{true iff } \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \not\equiv a^{(n-1)/2} \pmod{n}.$$

If  $n$  is prime, the test always fails by the Euler Criterion. Equivalently, if some  $\nu_a(n)$  succeeds for some  $a$ , then  $n$  must be composite.

Hence, the test is a valid test of compositeness.

## Usefulness of Strassen-Solovay test

Let  $b = a^{(n-1)/2}$ . The Strassen-Solovay test succeeds if  $\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \neq b \pmod{n}$ . There are two ways they could fail to be equal:

1.  $b^2 \equiv a^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .

In this case,  $b \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{n}$ . This is just the Fermat test  $\zeta_a(n)$  from lecture 9.

2.  $b^2 \equiv a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  but  $b \not\equiv \left(\frac{a}{n}\right) \pmod{n}$ .

In this case,  $b \in \sqrt{1} \pmod{n}$ , but  $b$  might have the opposite sign from  $\left(\frac{a}{n}\right)$ , or it might not even be  $\pm 1$  since 1 has additional square roots when  $n$  is composite.

Strassen and Solovay show the probability that  $\nu_a(n)$  succeeds for a randomly-chosen  $a \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$  is at least  $1/2$  when  $n$  is composite.<sup>6</sup> Hence, the Strassen-Solovay test is a useful test of compositeness.

<sup>6</sup>R. Solovay and V. Strassen, "A Fast Monte-Carlo Test for Primality", *SIAM J. Comput.* 6:1 (1977), 84–85.

## Miller-Rabin test – an overview

The Miller-Rabin Test is more complicated to describe than the Solovay-Strassen Test, but the probability of error (that is, the probability that it fails when  $n$  is composite) seems to be lower.

Hence, the same degree of confidence can be achieved using fewer iterations of the test. This makes it faster when incorporated into a primality-testing algorithm.

This test is closely related to the algorithm from Lecture 9 for factoring an RSA modulus given the encryption and decryption keys and to Shanks Algorithm given in this lecture for computing square roots modulo an odd prime.



## Miller-Rabin test

The Miller-Rabin test  $\mu_a(n)$  computes a sequence  $b_0, b_1, \dots, b_s$  in  $\mathbf{Z}_n^*$ . The test succeeds if  $b_s \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  or the last non-1 element exists and is  $\not\equiv -1 \pmod{n}$ .

The sequence is computed as follows:

1. Write  $n - 1 = 2^s t$ , where  $t$  is an odd positive integer.
2. Let  $b_0 = a^t \pmod{n}$ .
3. For  $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$ , let  $b_i = (b_{i-1})^2 \pmod{n}$ .

An easy inductive proof shows that  $b_i = a^{2^i t} \pmod{n}$  for all  $i$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq s$ . In particular,  $b_s \equiv a^{2^s t} = a^{n-1} \pmod{n}$ .

## Validity of the Miller-Rabin test

The Miller-Rabin test fails when either every  $b_k \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  or for some  $k$ ,  $b_{k-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$  and  $b_k \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .

To show validity, we show that  $\mu_a(n)$  fails for all  $a \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$  when  $n$  is prime.

By Euler's theorem,  $b^s \equiv a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .

Since  $\sqrt{1} = \{1, -1\}$  and  $b_{i-1}$  is a square root of  $b_i$  for all  $i$ , either all  $b_k \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  or the last non-1 element in the sequence  $b_{k-1} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ .

Hence, the test fails whenever  $n$  is prime, so  $\mu_a(n)$  is a valid test of compositeness.

## Usefulness of Miller-Rabin test

The Miller-Rabin test succeeds whenever  $a^{n-1} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ , so it succeeds whenever the Fermat test  $\zeta_a(n)$  would succeed.

But even when  $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ , the Miller-Rabin test succeeds if the last non-1 element in the sequence of  $b$ 's is one of the two square roots of 1 that differ from  $\pm 1$ .

It can be proved that  $\mu_a(n)$  succeeds for at least 3/4 of the possible values of  $a$ . Empirically, the test almost always succeeds when  $n$  is composite, and one has to work to find  $a$  such that  $\mu_a(n)$  fails.

## Example of Miller-Rabin test

For example, take  $n = 561 = 3 \cdot 11 \cdot 17$ , the first Carmichael number. Recall that a *Carmichael number* is an odd composite number  $n$  that satisfies  $a^{n-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  for all  $a \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$ . Let's go through the steps of computing  $\mu_{37}(561)$ .

We begin by finding  $t$  and  $s$ .

561 in binary is 1000110001 (a palindrome!).

Then  $n - 1 = 560 = (1000110000)_2$ , so  $s = 4$  and  $t = (100011)_2 = 35$ .

## Example (cont.)

We compute  $b_0 = a^t = 37^{35} \pmod{561} = 265$  with the help of the computer.

We now compute the sequence of  $b$ 's, also with the help of the computer. The results are shown in the table below:

$$b_0 = 265$$

$$b_1 = 100$$

$$b_2 = 463$$

$$b_3 = 67$$

$$b_4 = 1$$

This sequence ends in 1, but the last non-1 element  $b_3 \not\equiv -1 \pmod{561}$ , so the test  $\mu_{37}(561)$  succeeds. In fact, the test succeeds for every  $a \in \mathbf{Z}_{561}^*$  except for  $a = 1, 103, 256, 460, 511$ . For each of those values,  $b_0 = a^t \equiv 1 \pmod{561}$ .

## Optimizations

In practice, one computes only as many  $b$ 's as are necessary to determine whether or not the test succeeds.

One can stop after finding  $b_i$  such that  $b_i \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{n}$ .

- ▶ If  $b_i \equiv -1 \pmod{n}$  and  $i < s$ , the test fails.
- ▶ If  $b_i \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  and  $i \geq 1$ , the test succeeds.

In this case, we know that  $b_{i-1} \not\equiv \pm 1 \pmod{n}$ , for otherwise the algorithm would have stopped after computing  $b_{i-1}$ .