

CPSC 467: Cryptography and Computer Security

Michael J. Fischer

Lecture 14 October 23, 2017

[Computing in](#page-2-0) Z_n

[Modular multiplication](#page-3-0) [Modular inverses](#page-5-0) [Extended Euclidean algorithm](#page-9-0)

[Generating RSA Encryption and Decryption Exponents](#page-16-0)

[Euler's Theorem](#page-25-0)

[Generating RSA Modulus](#page-35-0)

[Finding primes by guess and check](#page-36-0) [Density of primes](#page-38-0)

Computing in Z_n

Multiplication modulo n

Theorem

 Z_n^* is closed under multiplication modulo n.

This says, if $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$, then also $r = (ab \mod n) \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$.

Proof.

By Euclidean division (slide 30, [lecture 9\)](http://zoo.cs.yale.edu/classes/cs467/2017f/lectures/ln09.pdf), we can write $ab = nq + r$ for some integer q. If $gcd(r, n) > 1$, then some prime p divides both r and n, so also $p | ab$ (slide 5, [lecture 10.](http://zoo.cs.yale.edu/classes/cs467/2017f/lectures/ln10.pdf)) But this is impossible, since $gcd(a, n) = gcd(b, n) = 1$. Hence, $gcd(r, n) = 1$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$.

Example: Multiplication in \mathbb{Z}_2^* 26

Let $n = 26 = 2 \cdot 13$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Z}_{26}^* &= \{1,3,5,7,9,11,15,17,19,21,23,25\} \\ \phi(26) &= |\mathbf{Z}_{26}^*| = 12. \end{aligned}
$$

Multiplication examples:

$$
(5 \times 7) \mod 26 = 35 \mod 26 = 9.
$$

 $(3 \times 25) \mod 26 = 75 \mod 26 = 23.$
 $(9 \times 3) \mod 26 = 27 \mod 26 = 1.$

We say that 3 is the *multiplicative inverse* of 9 in Z^*_{26} and write $3 = 9^{-1}$ (in \mathbb{Z}_{26}^*).

Example: Inverses of the elements in \mathbb{Z}_{26}^* .

Bottom row gives equivalent integers in range $[-12, \ldots, 13]$. Note that $(26 - x)^{-1} = -x^{-1}$.

Hence, last row reads same back to front except for change of sign. Once the inverses for the first six numbers are known, the rest of the table is easily filled in.

Finding modular inverses

Let $u \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$. We wish to find u^{-1} modulo *n*.

By definition, u^{-1} is the element $v \in \operatorname{\mathsf{Z}}_n^*$ (if it exists) such that

$uv \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$.

This equation holds iff $n |(uv - 1)$ iff $uv - 1 = qn$ for some integer q (positive or negative).

We can rewrite this equation as

$$
uv - nq = 1. \tag{1}
$$

 u and n are given and v and q are unknowns. If we succeed in finding a solution over the integers, then ν is the desired inverse u^{-1} .

Diophantine equations

A *Diophantine equation* is a linear equation in two unknowns over the integers.

$$
ax + by = c \tag{2}
$$

Here, a, b, c are given integers. A solution consists of integer values for the unknowns x and y that make (2) true.

We see that equation [1](#page-6-1) fits the general form for a Diophantine equation, where

$$
a = u
$$

\n
$$
b = -n
$$

\n
$$
c = 1
$$
\n(3)

Existence of solution

Theorem The Diophantine equation

 $ax + by = c$

has a solution over **Z** (the integers) iff $gcd(a, b) | c$.

It can be solved by a process akin to the Euclidean algorithm, which we call the *Extended Euclidean algorithm*.

Extended Euclidean algorithm

The algorithm generates a sequence of triples of numbers $T_i = (r_i, u_i, v_i)$, each satisfying the invariant

$$
r_i = au_i + bv_i \geq 0. \tag{4}
$$

$$
T_1 = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (a, 1, 0) & \text{if } a \ge 0 \\ (-a, -1, 0) & \text{if } a < 0 \end{array} \right.
$$

$$
T_2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} (b, 0, 1) & \text{if } b \ge 0 \\ (-b, 0, -1) & \text{if } b < 0 \end{array} \right.
$$

Extended Euclidean algorithm (cont.)

$$
r_i = au_i + bv_i \geq 0. \tag{4}
$$

 T_{i+2} is obtained by subtracting a multiple of T_{i+1} from from T_i so that $r_{i+2} < r_{i+1}$. This is similar to the way the Euclidean algorithm obtains (a mod b) from a and b .

In detail, let
$$
q_{i+1} = \lfloor r_i/r_{i+1} \rfloor
$$
. Then $T_{i+2} = T_i - q_{i+1}T_{i+1}$, so
\n
$$
r_{i+2} = r_i - q_{i+1}r_{i+1} = r_i \text{ mod } r_{i+1}
$$
\n
$$
u_{i+2} = u_i - q_{i+1}u_{i+1}
$$
\n
$$
v_{i+2} = v_i - q_{i+1}v_{i+1}
$$

The sequence of generated pairs (r_1, r_2) , (r_2, r_3) , (r_3, r_4) , ... is exactly the same as the sequence generated by the Euclidean algorithm. We stop when $r_t = 0$. Then $r_{t-1} = \gcd(a, b)$.

Extended Euclidean algorithm (cont.)

$$
r_i = au_i + bv_i \geq 0. \tag{4}
$$

From [\(4\)](#page-9-1) it follows that

$$
\gcd(a,b)=au_{t-1}+bv_{t-1} \qquad \qquad (5)
$$

Finding all solutions

Returning to the original equation,

$$
ax + by = c \tag{2}
$$

if $c = \gcd(a, b)$, then $x = u_{t-1}$ and $y = v_{t-1}$ is a solution.

If $c = k \cdot \gcd(a, b)$ is a multiple of $gcd(a, b)$, then $x = ku_{t-1}$ and $y = kv_{t-1}$ is a solution.

Otherwise, $gcd(a, b)$ does not divide c, and one can show that (2) has no solution.

Example of extended Euclidean algorithm

Suppose one wants to solve the equation

$$
31x - 45y = 3 \tag{6}
$$

Here, $a = 31$ and $b = -45$. We begin with the triples

$$
T_1 = (31, 1, 0)
$$

$$
T_2 = (45, 0, -1)
$$

Computing the triples

The computation is shown in the following table:

Extracting the solution

From $T_7 = (1, 16, 11)$, we obtain the solution $x = 16$ and $y = 11$ to the equation

$$
31x-45y=1
$$

We can check this by substituting for x and y :

$$
31 \cdot 16 + (-45) \cdot 11 = 496 - 495 = 1.
$$

The solution to

$$
31x - 45y = 3\tag{6}
$$

is then $x = 3 \cdot 16 = 48$ and $y = 3 \cdot 11 = 33$.

Generating RSA Encryption and Decryption Exponents

Recall RSA exponent requirement

Recall that the RSA encryption and decryption exponents must be chosen so that

$$
ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)},\tag{7}
$$

that is, d is e^{-1} in $\mathsf{Z}_{\phi(n)}^* .$

How does Alice choose e and d to satisfy (7) ?

- ► Choose a random integer $e \in \mathsf{Z}_{\phi(n)}^*$.
- \triangleright Solve [\(7\)](#page-17-0) for d.

We know now how to solve [\(7\)](#page-17-0), but how does Alice sample at random from $\mathsf{Z}_{\phi(n)}^*$?

Sampling from \mathbf{Z}_{d}^{*} $\phi(n)$

If $\mathsf{Z}_{\phi(n)}^{*}$ is large enough, Alice can just choose random elements from $\mathbf{Z}_{\phi(n)}$ until she encounters one that also lies in $\mathsf{Z}_{\phi(n)}^*$.

A candidate element e lies in $\mathsf{Z}_{\phi(n)}^{*}$ iff $\gcd(e,\phi(n))=1,$ which can be computed efficiently using the Euclidean algorithm.¹

 $1\phi(n)$ itself is easily computed for an RSA modulus $n = pq$ since $\phi(n) = (p-1)(q-1)$ and Alice knows p and q.

How large is large enough?

If $\phi(\phi(n))$ (the size of $\mathsf{Z}_{\phi(n)}^*$) is much smaller than $\phi(n)$ (the size of $\mathsf{Z}_{\phi(n)}$), Alice might have to search for a long time before finding a suitable candidate for e.

In general, Z_m^* can be considerably smaller than m . Example:

$$
m = |\mathbf{Z}_m| = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 210
$$

\n
$$
\phi(m) = |\mathbf{Z}_m^*| = 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 4 \cdot 6 = 48.
$$

In this case, the probability that a randomly-chosen element of \mathbf{Z}_m falls in Z_m^* is only $48/210 = 8/35 = 0.228\dots$.

The following theorem provides a crude lower bound on how small Z_m^* can be relative to the size of Z_m .

Theorem For all $m > 2$,

$$
\frac{|\mathsf{Z}_m^*|}{|\mathsf{Z}_m|} \geq \frac{1}{1+\lfloor \log_2 m \rfloor}.
$$

Proof.

Write $m=\prod_{i=1}^t \rho_i^{e_i}$, where ρ_i is the i^{th} prime that divides m and $e_i\geq 1$. Then $\phi(m)=\prod_{i=1}^t(\rho_i-1)\rho_i^{e_i-1}$, so

$$
\frac{|\mathbf{Z}_{m}^{*}|}{|\mathbf{Z}_{m}|} = \frac{\phi(m)}{m} = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{t} (p_{i}-1) p_{i}^{e_{i}-1}}{\prod_{i=1}^{t} p_{i}^{e_{i}}} = \prod_{i=1}^{t} \left(\frac{p_{i}-1}{p_{i}}\right).
$$
 (8)

Proof (cont.)

To estimate the size of $\prod_{i=1}^t (\rho_i-1)/\rho_i$, note that

$$
\left(\frac{p_i-1}{p_i}\right)\geq \left(\frac{i}{i+1}\right).
$$

This follows since $(x - 1)/x$ is monotonic increasing in x, and $p_i \geq i+1$. Then Π t $i=1$ $\int p_i - 1$ pi $\Big) \geq \prod_{i=1}^{n}$ t $i=1$ $\left(\frac{i}{i+1}\right)=\frac{1}{2}$ $\frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{2}{3}$ $\frac{2}{3} \cdot \frac{3}{4}$ $\frac{3}{4} \cdots \frac{t}{t+1}$ $\frac{t}{t+1} = \frac{1}{t+1}$ $\frac{1}{t+1}$. (9)

Proof (cont.) Clearly $t \leq \lfloor \log_2 m \rfloor$ since $2^t \leq \prod_{i=1}^t p_i \leq m$ and t is an integer. Combining this with equations [\(8\)](#page-21-0) and [\(9\)](#page-22-0) gives the desired result.

$$
\frac{|\mathsf{Z}_m^*|}{|\mathsf{Z}_m|} \ge \frac{1}{t+1} \ge \frac{1}{1 + \lfloor \log_2 m \rfloor}.
$$
 (10)

Expected difficulty of choosing RSA exponent e

For *n* a 1024-bit integer, $\phi(n) < n < 2^{1024}$.

Hence, $\log_2(\phi(n)) < 1024$, so $\lfloor \log_2(\phi(n)) \rfloor \le 1023$.

By the theorem, the fraction of elements in $\mathsf{Z}_{\phi(n)}$ that also lie in $\mathsf{Z}_{\phi(n)}^{*}$ is at least

$$
\frac{1}{1+\lfloor \log_2\phi(n)\rfloor} \geq \frac{1}{1024}.
$$

Therefore, the expected number of random trials before Alice finds a number in $\mathsf{Z}^*_{\phi(n)}$ is provably at most 1024 and is likely much smaller.

Euler's Theorem

Repeated multiplication in \mathbf{Z}_n^* n

If any element $x \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$ is repeatedly multiplied by itself, the result is eventually 1. $²$ </sup>

Example, for $x = 5 \in \mathbb{Z}_{26}^*$: 5, 25, 21, 1, 5, 25, 21, 1, ...

²The first repeated element must be x. If not, then some $y \neq x$ is the first to repeat. The element immediately preceding each occurrence of y is $yx^{-1}.$ But then yx^{-1} is the first to repeat, a contradiction. Hence, $x = x^{k+1}$ for some $k \geq 1$, so $x^k = x^{k+1}x^{-1} = xx^{-1} = 1$.

Order of an element

Let x^k denote the result of multiplying x by itself k times. The *order of x*, written ord(x), is the smallest integer $k \ge 1$ for which $x^k = 1$.

Theorem ord $(x)|\phi(n)$. (Recall, $\phi(n)$ is the size of Z_n^*).

Euler's and Fermat's theorem

Theorem (Euler's theorem) $x^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ for all $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$.

Proof. Since ord $(x)|\phi(n)$, we have

$$
x^{\phi(n)} \equiv (x^{\mathrm{ord}(x)})^{\phi(n)/\mathrm{ord}(x)} \equiv 1^{\phi(n)/\mathrm{ord}(x)} \equiv 1 \ (\text{mod } n).
$$

As a special case, we have

Theorem (Fermat's theorem) $x^{(p-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ for all $x, \ 1 \leq x \leq p-1,$ where p is prime.

An important corollary

Corollary Let $r \equiv s \pmod{\phi(n)}$. Then $a^r \equiv a^s \pmod{n}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$.

Proof.

If $r \equiv s \pmod{\phi(n)}$, then $r = s + u\phi(n)$ for some integer u. Then using Euler's theorem, we have

$$
a^r = a^{s+u\phi(n)} = a^s \cdot (a^u)^{\phi(n)} \equiv a^s \cdot 1 \equiv a^s \pmod{n},
$$

as desired.

This generalizes the similar fact from [lecture 10,](http://zoo.cs.yale.edu/classes/cs467/2017f/lectures/ln10.pdf) slide 34, where we had previously assumed n was prime.

Application to RSA

Recall the RSA encryption and decryption functions

$$
E_e(m) = m^e \mod n
$$

$$
D_d(c) = c^d \mod n
$$

where $n = pq$ is the product of two distinct large primes p and q.

This corollary gives a sufficient condition on e and d to ensure that the resulting cryptosystem works. That is, we require that

 $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(n)}$.

Then $D_d(E_e(m)) \equiv m^{ed} \equiv m^1 \equiv m \pmod{n}$ for all messages $m \in \mathbf{Z}_n^*$.

Messages not in \mathbf{Z}_n^* n

What about the case of messages $m \in \mathsf{Z}_n - \mathsf{Z}_n^*$?

There are several answers to this question.

- 1. Alice doesn't really want to send such messages if she can avoid it.
- 2. If Alice sends random messages, her probability of choosing a message not in Z_n^* is very small — only about $2/\sqrt{n}$.
- 3. RSA does in fact work for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, even though Euler's theorem fails for $m \notin \mathsf{Z}_n^*$.

Why Alice might want to avoid sending messages not in \mathbf{Z}_n^* n

- If $m \in \mathbb{Z}_n \mathbb{Z}_n^*$, either $p \mid m$ or $q \mid m$ (but not both because $m < pq$).
- If Alice ever sends such a message and Eve is astute enough to compute $gcd(m, n)$ (which she can easily do), then Eve will succeed in breaking the cryptosystem.

Why?

Why a random message is likely to be in \mathbf{Z}_n^* n

The number of messages in $Z_n - Z_n^*$ is only

$$
n - \phi(n) = pq - (p - 1)(q - 1) = p + q - 1
$$

out of a total of $n = pq$ messages altogether.

If p and q are both 512 bits long, then the probability of choosing a bad message is only about 2 $\cdot\, 2^{512}/2^{1024} = 1/2^{511}.$

Such a low-probability event will likely never occur during the lifetime of the universe.

RSA works anyway

For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_n - \mathbb{Z}_n^*$, RSA works anyway, but for different reasons.

For example, if $m = 0$, it is clear that $(0^e)^d \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$, yet Euler's theorem fails since $0^{\phi(n)} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$.

We omit the proof of this curiosity.

Generating RSA Modulus

Recall RSA modulus

Recall the RSA modulus, $n = pq$. The numbers p and q should be random distinct primes of about the same length.

The method for finding p and q is similar to the "guess-and-check" method used to find random numbers in Z_m^* .

Namely, keep generating random numbers p of the right length until a prime is found. Then keep generating random numbers q of the right length until a prime different from p is found.

Generating random primes of a given length

To generate a k-bit prime:

- \triangleright Generate $k-1$ random bits.
- \blacktriangleright Put a "1" at the front.

 \triangleright Regard the result as binary number, and test if it is prime. We defer the question of how to test if the number is prime and look now at the expected number of trials before this procedure will terminate.

Expected number of trials to find a prime

The above procedure samples uniformly from the set $B_k = \mathbf{Z}_{2^k} - \mathbf{Z}_{2^{k-1}}$ of binary numbers of length exactly k.

Let p_k be the fraction of elements in B_k that are prime. Then the expected number of trials to find a prime is $1/p_k$.

While p_k is difficult to determine exactly, the celebrated *Prime* Number Theorem allows us to get a good estimate on that number.

Prime number function

Let $\pi(n)$ be the number of numbers $\leq n$ that are prime.

For example, $\pi(10) = 4$ since there are four primes ≤ 10 , namely, 2, 3, 5, 7.

Prime number theorem

Theorem $\pi(n) \approx n/(\ln n)$, where $\ln n$ is the natural logarithm $\log_e n$.

Notes:

- \triangleright We ignore the critical issue of how good an approximation this is. The interested reader is referred to a good mathematical text on number theory.
- Here $e = 2.71828...$ is the base of the natural logarithm, not to be confused with the RSA encryption exponent, which, by an unfortunate choice of notation, we also denote by e.

Likelihood of randomly finding a prime

The chance that a randomly picked number in Z_n is prime is

$$
\frac{\pi(n-1)}{n} \approx \frac{n-1}{n \cdot \ln(n-1)} \approx \frac{1}{\ln n}.
$$

Since $B_k={\bf Z}_{2^k}-{\bf Z}_{2^{k-1}}$, we have

$$
p_k = \frac{\pi(2^k - 1) - \pi(2^{k-1} - 1)}{2^{k-1}}
$$

=
$$
\frac{2\pi(2^k - 1)}{2^k} - \frac{\pi(2^{k-1} - 1)}{2^{k-1}}
$$

$$
\approx \frac{2}{\ln 2^k} - \frac{1}{\ln 2^{k-1}} \approx \frac{1}{\ln 2^k} = \frac{1}{k \ln 2}.
$$

Hence, the expected number of trials before success is $\approx k \ln 2$. For $k = 512$, this works out to $512 \times 0.693... \approx 355$.