CPSC 467: Cryptography and Security

Michael J. Fischer

Lecture 20a November 10, 2020

CPSC 467, Lecture 20a, November 10, 2020

Multishare Secret Splitting

Threshold secret splitting scheme Secret splitting with dishonest parties

Multishare Secret Splitting

Motivation for multishare secret splitting

Secret splitting generalizes to more than two shares.

Imagine a large company that restricts access to important company secrets to only its five top executives, say the president, vice-president, treasurer, CEO, and CIO.

They don't want any executive to be able to access the data alone since they are concerned that an executive might be blackmailed into giving confidential data to a competitor.

Motivation (cont.)

On the other hand, they also don't want to require that all five executives get together to access their data because

- this would be cumbersome;
- they worry about the death or incapacitation of any single individual.

They decide as a compromise that any three of them should be able to access the secret data, but one or two of them operating alone should not have access. (τ, k) threshold secret spitting scheme

A (τ, k) threshold secret splitting scheme splits a secret s into shares s_1, \ldots, s_k .

Any subset of τ or more shares allows s to be recovered, but no subset of shares of size less than τ gives any information about s.

The executives of the previous example want a (3,5) threshold secret splitting scheme:

The secret key is to be split into 5 shares, any 3 of which allow the secret to be recovered.

A threshold scheme based on polynomials

Shamir proposed a threshold scheme based on polynomials.

A *polynomial of degree d* is an expression

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + \ldots + a_d x^d$$

where $a_d \neq 0$.

The numbers a_0, \ldots, a_d are called the *coefficients* of f.

A polynomial can be simultaneously regarded as a function and as an object determined by its vector of coefficients.

Interpolation

Interpolation is the process of finding a polynomial that goes through a given set of points.

Fact

Let $(x_1, y_1), \ldots, (x_k, y_k)$ be points, where all of the x_i 's are distinct. There is a unique polynomial f(x) of degree at most k - 1 that passes through all k points, that is, for which $f(x_i) = y_i \ (1 \le i \le k)$.

f can be found using Lagrangian interpolation. This statement generalizes the familiar statement from high school geometry that two points determine a line.

Lagrangian interpolation method

One way to understand Lagrangian interpolation is to consider the polynomial

$$\delta_i(x) = \frac{(x - x_1)(x - x_2) \dots (x - x_{i-1}) \cdot (x - x_{i+1}) \dots (x - x_k)}{(x_i - x_1)(x_i - x_2) \dots (x_i - x_{i-1}) \cdot (x_i - x_{i+1}) \dots (x_i - x_k)}$$

Although this looks at first like a rational function, it is actually just a polynomial in x since the denominator contains only the x-values of the given points and not the variable x.

$$\delta_i(x)$$
 has the easily-checked property that $\delta_i(x_i) = 1$, and $\delta_i(x_j) = 0$ for $j \neq i$.

Lagrangian interpolation method (cont.)

Using $\delta_i(x)$, the polynomial

$$p(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{k} y_i \, \delta_i(x)$$

is the desired interpolating polynomial, since $p(x_i) = y_i$ for i = 1, ..., k.

To actually find the coefficients a_i of $p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^k a_i x^i$, it is necessary to expand p(x) by multiplying out the factors and collect like terms.

Interpolation over finite fields

Interpolation also works over finite fields such as Z_p for prime p.

It is still true that any k points with distinct x coordinates determine a unique polynomial of degree at most k - 1 over \mathbf{Z}_p .

Of course, we must have $k \leq p$ since Z_p has only p distinct coordinate values in all.

Shamir's secret splitting scheme

Here's how Shamir's (τ, k) secret splitting scheme works.

Let Alice (also called the *dealer*) have secret *s*.

She first chooses a prime p > k and announces it to all players.

Threshold splitting

Constructing the polynomial

She next constructs a polynomial

$$f = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 \dots a_{ au-1} x^{ au-1}$$

of degree at most $\tau - 1$ as follows:

- She sets $a_0 = s$ (the secret).
- She chooses $a_1, \ldots, a_{\tau-1} \in Z_p$ at random.

Constructing the shares

She constructs the k shares as follows:

- She chooses $x_i = i$. $(1 \le i \le k)$
- She chooses $y_i = f(i)$. $(1 \le i \le k)^1$

• Share
$$s_i = (x_i, y_i) = (i, f(i))$$
.

 ${}^{1}f(i)$ is the result of evaluating the polynomial f at the value x = i. All arithmetic is over the field \mathbf{Z}_{p} , so we omit explicit mention of mod p.

CPSC 467, Lecture 20a, November 10, 2020

Recovering the secret

Theorem

s can be reconstructed from any set T of τ or more shares.

Proof.

Suppose $s_{i_1}, \ldots, s_{i_{\tau}}$ are τ distinct shares in T.

By interpolation, there is a unique polynomial g(x) of degree $d \le \tau - 1$ that passes through these shares.

By construction of the shares, f(x) also passes through these same shares; hence g = f as polynomials.

In particular, g(0) = f(0) = s is the secret.

Protection from unauthorized disclosure

Theorem

For any set T' of fewer than τ shares and any possible secret \hat{s} , there is a polynomial \hat{f} that interprets those shares and reveals \hat{s} .

Proof.

Let $T' = \{s_{i_1}, \ldots, s_{i_r}\}$ be a set of $r < \tau$ shares.

In particular, for each $\hat{s} \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, there is a polynomial $g_{\hat{s}}$ that interpolates the shares in $T' \cup \{(0, \hat{s})\}$.

Each of these polynomials passes through all of the shares in T', so each is a plausible candidate for f. Moreover, $g_{\hat{s}}(0) = \hat{s}$, so each \hat{s} is a plausible candidate for the secret s.

No information about secret

One can show further that the number of polynomials that interpolate $T' \cup \{(0, \hat{s})\}$ is the same for each $\hat{s} \in \mathbf{Z}_p$, so each possible candidate \hat{s} is equally likely to be s.

Hence, the shares in T' give no information at all about s.

Secret splitting with semi-honest parties

Shamir's scheme is an example of a protocol that works assuming *semi-honest* parties.

These are players that follow the protocol but additionally may collude in an attempt to discover secret information.

We just saw that no coalition of fewer than τ players could learn anything about the dealer's secret, even if they pooled all of their shares.

Secret splitting with dishonest dealer

In practice, either the dealer or some of the players (or both) may be dishonest and fail to follow the protocol. The honest players would like some guarantees even in such situations.

A dishonest dealer can always lie about the true value of her secret. Even so, the honest players want assurance that their shares do in fact encode a unique secret, that is, the same secret s is recovered from every set of τ shares.

Failure of Shamir's scheme with dishonest dealer

Shamir's (τ, k) threshold scheme assumes that all k shares lie on a single polynomial of degree at most $\tau - 1$.

This might not hold if the dealer is dishonest and gives bad shares to some of the players.

The players have no way to discover that they have bad shares until later when they try to reconstruct s, and maybe not even then.

Dishonesty

Verifiable secret sharing

In *verifiable secret sharing*, the sharing phase is an active protocol involving the dealer and all of the players.

At the end of this phase, either the dealer is exposed as being dishonest, or all of the players end up with shares that are consistent with a single secret.

Needless to say, protocols for verifiable secret sharing are quite complicated.

Dishonesty

Dishonest players

Dishonest players present another kind of problem. These are players that fail to follow the protocol. During the reconstruction phase, they may fail to supply their share, or they may present a (possibly different) corrupted share to each other player.

With Shamir's scheme, a share that just disappears does not prevent the secret from being reconstructed, as long as enough valid shares remain.

But a player who lies about his share during the reconstruction phase can cause other players to reconstruct incorrect values for the secret.

Fault-tolerance in secret sharing protocols

A *fault-tolerant secret sharing scheme* should allow the secret to be correctly reconstructed, even in the face of a certain number of corrupted shares.

Of course, it may be desirable to have schemes that can tolerate dishonesty in both dealer and a limited number of players.

The interested reader is encouraged to explore the extensive literature on this subject.