## Toda's Theorem

This material was presented in class on April 19, 2016. We wish to prove

**Toda's Theorem:**  $PH \subseteq P^{\#SAT[1]}$ . That is, for any language  $L \in PH$ , there is a polynomial-time oracle Turing Machine that decides membership in L when given access to a #SAT oracle; moreover, on any input x, the oracle machine makes just one #SAT query.

We use the following lemmas from Chapter 17 of Arora-Barak.

**Lemma 17.17**: For any constant  $c \in \mathcal{N}$ , there exists a probabilistic polynomialtime algorithm f such that for any m and any  $\sum_{c} \text{SAT}$  instance  $\psi$ ,

$$\psi$$
 is true  $\longrightarrow Pr[f(\psi) \in \oplus SAT] \ge 1 - 2^{-m}$   
 $\psi$  is false  $\longrightarrow Pr[f(\psi) \in \oplus SAT] \le 2^{-m}$ 

**Lemma 17.22**: There is a deterministic polynomial-time transformation T that maps CNF formulas to CNF formulas such that  $\beta = T(\alpha, 1^l)$  has following property:

$$\alpha \in \oplus \text{SAT} \longrightarrow \#(\beta) = -1 \pmod{2^{l+1}}$$
$$\alpha \notin \oplus \text{SAT} \longrightarrow \#(\beta) = 0 \pmod{2^{l+1}}$$

A proof of Lemma 17.17 was presented in class on April 14, 2016 and can be found on the course website. A proof of Lemma 17.22 is presented below. We now show how to use them to prove Toda's Theorem.

Note first that it suffices to reduce membership in  $\Sigma_c \text{SAT}$  to a single #SAT query, for an arbitrary  $c \geq 1$ , because every L in the PH is in  $\Sigma_c^P$  for some c and hence many-to-one reducible to  $\Sigma_c \text{SAT}$ .

Consider the probabilistic polynomial-time algorithm f in the Lemma 17.17 with m = 2. Instead of treating f as a probabilistic algorithm, we can treat it as a deterministic function of two arguments, namely the  $\Sigma_c$ SAT instance  $\psi$  and the random string r. Let R = |r|, and l = R + 1, and consider the formula,

$$\sum_{r \in \{0,1\}^R} \#T(f(\psi, r), 1^l) \tag{(*)}$$

If  $\psi$  is *true*, then at least  $\frac{3}{4}$  of the terms being summed in (\*) are  $-1 \mod 2^{l+1}$ , and the rest are  $0 \mod 2^{l+1}$ . Thus, when  $\psi$  is *true*, (\*) falls into the interval  $[-2^R, -\lceil \frac{3}{4} \times 2^R \rceil] \mod 2^{l+1}$ .

If  $\psi$  is false, then at least  $\frac{3}{4}$  of the terms being summed in (\*) are 0 mod  $2^{l+1}$ , and the rest are  $-1 \mod 2^{l+1}$ . Thus, (\*) falls into the interval  $\left[-\left\lceil\frac{1}{4}\times 2^{R}\right\rceil, 0\right] \mod 2^{l+1}$  in this case. Because  $2^{l+1} > 2^{R+1}$ , the two intervals in these two cases are disjoint. Hence, if we can show how to compute (\*) in  $P^{\#SAT[1]}$ , we can decide which of the two intervals it falls into to get the truth value of  $\psi$ .

Note that  $\beta = T(f(\psi, r), 1^l)$  is a SAT instance. Thus, we can apply the parsimonious Cook-Levin reduction to the nondeterministic, polynomial-time Turing Machine that takes  $(\psi, r)$  as input and accepts if and only there exists a witness y of length polynomial in the input size that satisfies  $\beta$ . Call the output of that reduction  $\Gamma(\psi, r, y, z)$ . (The string z represents the extra variables used in the Cook-Levin reduction to encode the sequence of snapshots.) Let  $\Gamma_{\psi}(r, y, z)$  denote  $\Gamma(\psi, r, y, z)$  for a fixed formula  $\psi$ , and let CL denote the polynomial-time reduction function. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \#\Gamma_{\psi}(r, y, z) \\ &= |\{(r, y, z) \mid (y, z) \text{ satisfies } CL(T(f(\psi, r), 1^{l}))\}| \\ &= |\{(r, y, z) \mid (y, z) \text{ satisfies } CL(T(f(\psi, r), 1^{|r|+1}))\}| \\ &= \sum_{r \in \{0,1\}^{R}} \text{ the number of } (y, z) \text{ pairs that satisfy } T(f(\psi, r), 1^{|r|+1}) \\ &(\text{because the reduction is parsimonious}) \\ &= \sum_{r \in \{0,1\}^{R}} \#T(f(\psi, r), 1^{|r|+1}) \\ &= (*) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, given  $\psi$  and r, we can first compute the value of  $\beta$ , apply the parsimonious Cook-Levin reduction to it to obtain  $\Gamma_{\psi}(r, y, z)$ , then get the value of (\*) by making one query to the #SAT oracle.

**Proof of Lemma 17.22**: Recall that we have defined addition and multiplication operators on CNF formulas with the properties that  $\#(\phi + \tau) = \#(\phi) + \#(\tau)$  and  $\#(\phi \cdot \tau) = \#(\phi) \cdot \#(\tau)$ . (See formulas 17.5 and 17.7.) Using these operators, we can construct from any CNF formula  $\tau$  a related CNF formula  $4\tau^3 + 3\tau^4$  that, for any  $i \geq 0$ , satisfies

$$\#(\tau) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2^{i}}} \longrightarrow \#(4\tau^{3} + 3\tau^{4}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2^{i+1}}}$$
(\*\*)

and

$$\#(\tau) \equiv -1 \pmod{2^{2^{i}}} \longrightarrow \#(4\tau^{3} + 3\tau^{4}) \equiv -1 \pmod{2^{2^{i+1}}}. \quad (***)$$

To prove (\*\*), let  $B = #(\tau) = C \cdot 2^{2^{i}}$ . Then

$$\#(4\tau^3 + 3\tau^4) = 4B^3 + 3B^4 = B^2(4B + 3B^2) = C^2 \cdot 2^{2^{i+1}} \cdot (4B + 3B^2) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{2^{i+1}}}.$$

To prove (\*\*\*), let  $B = #(\tau) = C \cdot 2^{2^{i}} - 1$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \#(4\tau^3 + 3\tau^4) &= 4B^3 + 3B^4 \\ &= B^2 \cdot B \cdot (4+3B) \\ &= (C \cdot 2^{2^i} - 1)^2 \cdot (C \cdot 2^{2^i} - 1) \cdot (3C \cdot 2^{2^i} + 1) \\ &= (C^2 \cdot 2^{2^{i+1}} - 2C \cdot 2^{2^i} + 1)(3C^2 \cdot 2^{2^{i+1}} - 2C \cdot 2^{2^i} - 1) \\ &\equiv (-2C \cdot 2^{2^i} + 1)(-2C \cdot 2^{2^i} - 1) \equiv -1 \pmod{2^{2^{i+1}}} \end{aligned}$$

To get a polynomial-time transformation T with the desired property, let  $\psi_0 = \alpha$ ,  $\psi_{i+1} = 4\psi_i^3 + 3\psi_i^4$ , and  $\beta = \psi_{\lceil \log(l+1) \rceil}$ .