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Neural Networks

• Inspired by early models of biological neurons
• Ignore all the comparisons with biological neurons in your textbook
  – (They are misleading, and based on an outdated model of neural function)
• We will treat neural nets as a cool computational technique, but never as a model of biology
Simple Computing Elements

Each neuron has:
- A set of inputs
- A weight associated with each input
- A weighted sum of inputs
- An activation function
- An output that links to some number of other elements

Output is the activation function applied to the weighted inputs

For neuron \( i \):

\[
in_i = \sum_j W_{j,i} a_j \quad \text{for all inputs } j
\]

\[
a_i = g(in_i)
\]
Activation Functions

• Thresholds can be added by using a constant input (positive or negative)
  – Thus converting any step function to a sign function

• Sigmoid is most common activation function, as it avoids discontinuities (and has a useful derivative for gradient descent)
Representing Boolean Functions with Neurons

- Using step functions with the given thresholds
- If we can simulate any logic gate with these elements, we can build arbitrary computations from networks of these elements.
A Typical Network

- Node types
  - Input nodes
  - Output nodes
  - Hidden nodes

- Network connections
  - Feed forward networks have no loops (they are directed acyclic graphs)
  - Recurrent networks allow for feedback loops

\[ a_5 = g(W_{3,5}a_3 + W_{4,5}a_4) \]
\[ a_5 = g(W_{3,5}g(W_{1,3}a_1 + W_{2,3}a_2) + W_{4,5}g(W_{1,4}a_1 + W_{2,4}a_2)) \]
Perceptrons

- Layered feed-forward networks
  - Output=Step(W*I)
  - Assume threshold=0 without loss of generality
- Majority function (output 1 if at least half the inputs are 1)
  - All weights are 1
  - Threshold is –n/2 for n inputs
  - (would have required a decision tree with $2^n$ nodes)
- Can we represent any Boolean function?
Linear Separability of Perceptrons

- Output is 1 if and only if \((W_1I_1 + W_2I_2) > 0\)
  \[ I_1 = -(W_2 / W_1) I_2 \]
- Separation between output states and input states is a line (it is linearly separable)
  - Adding a threshold will only allow for an offset of the line
- Some functions can be computed in this way (AND, OR)
- Some functions cannot (XOR)
Linear Separability in 3 Dimensions

- In three dimensions, linear separability is defined by a plane that separates positive from negative responses.
- Example: Perceptron for computing the Minority function.
Learning with Perceptrons

• At each time step, compute the error
\[ \text{Err} = \text{CorrectOutput} - \text{ActualOutput} \]

• Update each weight according to the learning rule:
\[ W_j \leftarrow W_j + \alpha \times I_j \times \text{Err} \]
where \( \alpha \) is the learning rate

• Guaranteed to learn any linearly separable function given sufficient training examples
Which are better, Perceptrons or Decision Trees?

11-input majority function
Perceptrons are better

WillWait restaurant example
Decision Trees are better
Multi-Layer Feed-Forward Networks

- Adding more layers (hidden units) allows us to compute more complex problems
- Can solve problems that are not linearly separable
- But how do we train these networks to do the right thing?
function BACK-PROP-UPDATE(network, examples, α) returns a network with modified weights

repeat
    for each e in examples do
        /* Compute the output for this example */
        O ← RUN-NETWORK(network, E)
        /* Compute the error and Δ for units in the output layer */
        Err^e ← T^e − O
        /* Update the weights leading to the output layer */
        W_j,i ← W_j,i + α × a_j × Err_i^e × g'(in_i)
        for each subsequent layer in network do
            /* Compute the error at each node */
            Δ_j ← g'(in_j) ∑_i W_{j,i} Δ_i
            /* Update the weights leading into the layer */
            W_k,j ← W_k,j + α × I_k × Δ_j
        end
    end
until network has converged
return network

For output nodes, add to the weight the quantity (learningRate * priorNode * error * GradientOfActivationFunction)

Run the network on an example

Compute the error between the expected and the actual output

For internal node j, it is responsible for some fraction of the error at node i

Update the weights going into node j according to that fraction of the following error

Errors propagate back from the output
Back-Propagation is Gradient Descent

- Error surface for gradient descent in the weight space
- Back-prop provides a way of dividing the calculation of the gradient among the units, so the change in each weight can be calculated by the unit to which the weight is attached using only local information
Performance of Back-Propagation

- Performance on the WillWait Restaurant problem
- At left, a training curve showing the error over the number of epochs (iterations of back-prop)
- At right, the performance relative to decision trees
- How does this compare to the perceptron?
Example Applets

- https://playground.tensorflow.org/
Noise and Overfitting

• In the presence of noise, some feature vectors will have multiple examples with conflicting results

• If there are many possible hypotheses, you must be careful to avoid finding meaningless “regularity” in the data (overfitting)
  – Every time I roll the dice with my left hand it comes up heads
  – I always encounter less traffic on Mondays (but I’m always late on Mondays)

• There are techniques for dealing with overfitting, but they rely on domain information
Handling Noise

- PAC learning: probably approximately correct learning
- For a given hypothesis $h$ of some function $f$
- Approximately correct if
  \[ \text{error}(h) \leq \varepsilon \]
  Where
  \[ \text{error}(h) = P(h(x) \neq f(x) \mid \text{example } x) \]
  (that is, the hypothesis is within some epsilon-ball of $f$)
- Probably Approximately correct if
  \[ P(\text{error}(h) \leq \varepsilon) \geq \varepsilon_2 \]
  (that is, the hypothesis is probably within some epsilon-ball of $f$)
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