# Reinforcement Learning Part 1

#### CPSC 470 – Artificial Intelligence Brian Scassellati

From Supervised Learning to Reinforcement Learning

- In supervised learning, when the agent makes a mistake, it is immediately given feedback in the form of the correct response
- In reinforcement learning, when the agent makes a mistake, it will later be given feedback in the form of a punishment or reward

# Deterministic Agent, Known Environment



• reward +1 at [4,3], -1 at [4,2]

# Optimal Deterministic Policy, Known Environment



• reward +1 at [4,3], -1 at [4,2]

# Non-deterministic Agent, Known Environment



- reward +1 at [4,3], -1 at [4,2]
- what is the strategy to achieve max reward?
- reward -0.04 for each step

# Non-deterministic Agent, Known Environment



- reward +1 at [4,3], -1 at [4,2]
- what is the strategy to achieve max reward?
- reward -0.04 for each step



Reward for each step: -0.04



Reward for each step: -0.1



#### **Deterministic policy**



Reward for each step: -0.01

### Sample Environment for Today

Simplified Wumpus World



 Reward function only defined at terminal states



 Equal probability transitions among neighboring states

#### Passive Learning in Known Environments



 Given a set of training sequences that end in a terminal state (with a reward)

 $\begin{array}{c} (1,1) \rightarrow (2,1) \rightarrow (3,1) \rightarrow (3,2) \rightarrow (3,3) \rightarrow (4,3) \rightarrow \mathbf{+1} \\ (1,1) \rightarrow (2,1) \rightarrow (3,1) \rightarrow (3,2) \rightarrow (4,2) \rightarrow \mathbf{-1} \\ (1,1) \rightarrow (1,2) \rightarrow (1,3) \rightarrow (2,3) \rightarrow (3,3) \rightarrow (4,3) \rightarrow \mathbf{+1} \\ (1,1) \rightarrow (2,1) \rightarrow (3,1) \rightarrow (4,1) \rightarrow (3,1) \rightarrow (3,2) \rightarrow (4,2) \rightarrow \mathbf{-1} \end{array}$ 

 Determine the expected utility U(i) associated with each non-terminal state i

# Passive Reinforcement Learning Agent

- Maintain
  - An estimate U(i) for all of the states i
  - The number of times you have visited each state
  - Table of transition properties between states
- How do we update our estimate?
  - Naïve updating: Least-Mean Squares
  - Temporal Difference Learning
  - Adaptive Dynamic Programming

#### Updating via Least Mean Squares

function LMS-UPDATE(U, e, percepts, M, N) returns an updated U

```
if TERMINAL?[e] then reward-to-go ← 0
for each e<sub>i</sub> in percepts (starting at end) do
    reward-to-go ← reward-to-go + REWARD[e<sub>i</sub>]
    U[STATE[e<sub>i</sub>]] ← RUNNING-AVERAGE(U[STATE[e<sub>i</sub>]], reward-to-go, N[STATE[e<sub>i</sub>]])
end
```

- (also known as Adaptive Control Theory)
- Define *reward-to-go* as the sum of the rewards from a state until a terminal state is reached
- Expected utility is the expected reward-to-go
- Estimate utility in order to minimize the mean square error among the observed sequence data

#### Updating via Least Mean Squares



Treats each utility measurement as independent... misses an important constraint!

### Updating via Temporal Difference

- Try to get the best of both worlds
  - Approximate the constraint equations between neighboring states
  - Provide a solution without computing all these equations
- Suppose that we often see a transition from U(i)=-0.5 and U(j)=+0.5
  - then we should increase U(i) to reflect the fact that it often leads to U(j)
- Update rule

 $U(i) \leftarrow U(i) + \alpha(N(i))[R(i) + U(j) - U(i)]$ 

 Parameterize the learning rate by the number of times we have visited that state

#### Updating via Temporal Difference



TD generates noisier values, but results in a lower RMS utility error

#### Do we need a Complete Model of the World?

- What information is required about the world state?
  - LMS makes no use of connectivity between states ... it will work in an unknown environment
  - Temporal Difference makes use of connectivity, but only as much as is generated by the training sequences... it will also work in an unknown environment
- Look at an algorithm that does require a model of the world: Adaptive Dynamic Programming

### Updating via Adaptive Dynamic Programming



- Key idea: use knowledge of the structure of the environment to aid future decisions
- The actual utility of a state is constrained to be the probability-weighted average of its successors' utilities (plus its own reward)

$$U(i) = R(i) + \sum_{j} M_{ij} U(j)$$

 ADP solves these utility equations simultaneously using dynamic programming (equivalent to value determination)

#### Updating via Adaptive Dynamic Programming



Adaptive DP gives a very fast convergence at the expense of large compute costs (can be intractable for large search spaces)

# Can we do better if the agent can actively explore the world?

- Need two changes to our existing algorithms
  - Environment model must incorporate the idea that transition probabilities are dependent on the action that we take
  - Utility must be based on choosing the action that maximizes the expected reward

$$U(i) \leftarrow R(i) + \max_{action} \sum_{j} M_{ij}^{(action(i))} U(j)$$

### Active Learning in an Unknown Environment

- Action has two kinds of outcomes
  - It gains rewards on the current sequence
  - It affects the percepts received and thus the ability of the agent to learn (and receive future reward)
- Trade-off between immediate gains (rewards) and long-term gains
- Range of learning approaches
  - Act randomly: explore as much as possible
  - Act greedy: always grab the immediate gain
  - ... and everything in between

# Exploration

- Is there an optimal exploration policy?
- Is there a reasonable exploration policy?
  - Main idea: give weight to actions that have not been tried very often
  - Example update rule:



#### Exploratory ADP Agent (R<sup>+</sup>=2 and N<sub>e</sub>=5)



Exploratory ADP initially gives states an exploration bonus (high valued states quickly reach their correct values). Low-valued states take longer to adapt because they are seldom visited.

# Administrivia

- Monday:
  - end of reinforcement learning
  - (Q-learning)